

Georgia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Disabilities Frank W. Berry III, Commissioner

Office of Adult Mental Health

Two Peachtree Street NW, 23rd floor, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3142 404-232-1644~FAX 404-463-7149

Supported Employment Fidelity Review Report

Provider: Lookout Mountain Community Services

Date(s) of Review: November 3-4, 2014

Purpose:

This Supported Employment Fidelity Review was requested by the DBHDD ADA Settlement Agreement (ADASA) Coordinator in order to ensure that supported employment (SE) services delivered to ADASA consumers meet fidelity to the Dartmouth Individual Placement and Supports (IPS) model of SE. Information gathered from the fidelity review will be used to make recommendations to individual programs for quality improvement planning and will also be used to determine the need for further Training and Technical Assistance in providing evidence-based supported employment to consumers across the state.

Background:

Lookout Mountain Community Services (LMCS) is a community service board that provides mental health, substance abuse, and disabilities services to consumers. LMCS seeks to meet the needs of the community in Catoosa, Chattanooga, Dade, and Walker Counties. LMCS serves individuals in an inpatient facility, 4 outpatient clinics, 2 residential facilities, in-home, and community-based settings. LMCS SE team consists of 1 SE Supervisor and 1 ES. LMCS currently serves 25 consumers in the SE program. This is LMCS third Fidelity Review, the last review was conducted on December 19-20, 2013; the score for their FY 14 review was 87, indicating fair services were provided. Areas of concern that scored below a 3 and needed quality improvement plan included: Organization 1, 2, 3; and Services 4,6,13.

Method

The fidelity review was conducted on site and included the following activities:

1. Interviews: CEO, Behavioral Health Clinical Director, Director of Recovery Services; SE Supervisor; 3

Consumers; 2 Case Managers; Benefits Planner; and the VR Counselor.

- 2. Observations: Community job development with SE Supervisor; SE Unit Meeting;
- 3. Review of consumer charts: 6 consumer charts.

The Supported Employment Fidelity Scale was completed following the visit. This report contains the completed scale, with scores and comments for each item. The scale measures three aspects of the program: staffing, organization, and services. Each item is rated on a 5-point response format, ranging from 1 = no implementation to 5 = full implementation, with intermediate numbers representing progressively greater degrees of implementation.

SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT FIDELITY SCALE STAFFING

1. Caseload size	Rating	Comments	
Employment specialists have individual employment caseloads.	4	Currently the SE Supervisor is	
The maximum caseload for any full-time employment specialist is		serving 25 consumers. The full time	
20 or fewer.		ES resigned from their position prior	
		to this Fidelity Review. Therefore	
		the SE Supervisor is carrying the full	
		caseload until an individual is	
		selected for the open ES position.	

Recommendations: It is noted that the vacant ES position within LMCS has been posted and interviews are scheduled to begin within the next week. Further recommendations would include reviewing the SE caseload and determining which consumers can possibly be stepped down from SE services, due to the employment success within the SE Program. This will allow space for the consumers on the waiting list to begin SE services when a new ES is hired.

2. Employment services staff	Rating	Comments
Employment specialists provide only employment services.	5	Area of Strength: LMCS has worked to improve in this area. The SE teams' key focus is employment services. The SE team provides a range of employment related services to consumers. Based on interviews with consumers, the SE Supervisor, and the reviewing of consumers' case notes. There is no evidence that the SE team is currently providing services outside of supported employment. Positive adjustments have been made in this area since last Fidelity Review (Dec. 2014).The SE team is no longer providing case management duties, arranging transportation to peer groups, and providing educational assistance in lieu of employment related activities. The SE team relies on case managers for mental health services.

3. Vocational generalists	Rating	Comments
Each employment specialist carries out all phases of employment	5	Area of Strength:
service, including intake, engagement, assessment, job		The SE team continues to progress
placement, job coaching, and follow-along supports before step		in this area by carrying out all
down to less intensive employment support from another MH		phases of employment services.
practitioner.		These services include; intake,
		engagement, assessments, job
		placement, job coaching, and
		follow-along supports.

ORGANIZATION

1. Integration of rehabilitation with mental health through	Rating	Comments
team assignment		
Employment specialists are part of up to 2 mental health treatment teams from which at least 90% of the employment specialist's caseload is comprised.	2	This is an area of repeat deficiency from LMCS FY 14 Fidelity Review. The SE team is not assigned to nor is a part of the MH treatment teams. The SE staff attends the residential team meeting, in addition to the SE staff, which consists of ; the Housing Coordinator, clerical staff, and the SA case managers. However the residential meeting is not clinically focused.

Recommendations: In order to be in accordance with the IPS model of SE, providers of SE are expected to attend MH treatment team meetings to discuss individuals participating in SE services. Although the SE team is attending the Residential team meetings, this is not considered a mental health treatment team. To ensure higher fidelity, it is recommended that LMCS models this service delivery within their mental health clinic, and establish a MH Clinical Treatment Team comprised of the SE staff, Clinician, Case Managers, Psychiatrist, Housing Coordinator, and VR Counselor (if applicable). MH treatment teams should have a face-to-face meeting at least monthly to discuss individual consumers. The SE team must begin participating in MH treatment team meetings that are clinically focused, and where consumers' progress and goals are discussed.

2.	Integration of rehabilitation with mental health through	Rating	Comments
freq	uent team member contact		
	 ES attends weekly MH treatment team meetings. ES participates actively in treatment team meetings with 	1	This is an area of repeat deficiency for LMCS. Although MH and SE
	shared decision-making.		documentation are integrated into electronic records. There is no
	Employment services documentation (i.e., vocational assessment/profile, employment plan, progress note) is integrated into consumer's MH treatment record.		evidence that the SE team assists the MH treatment members to think
	ES's office is located in close proximity to (or shared with) his/her MH treatment team members.		about individuals that have not been referred to SE. According to the case
,	ES helps the team think about employment for people who haven't yet been referred to supported employment services.		managers' interviews, consumers whose primary diagnosis is substance abuse have been informed that these individuals are not considered a part
	www.endetioner.The CE staff should be estimate participating in		of the SPMI population and are therefore denied SE services.

Recommendations: The SE staff should be actively participating in MH treatment team meetings, and should take the opportunity to educate MH team members on the IPS-SE model, with a focus on the zero-exclusion principle. Cohesive and integrated teams share information, make decisions as a team, and provide feedback to other team members. In addition, the SE team should assist mental health practitioners think about employment for everyone

on their case loads. The goal of integration is to provide seamless services, so that consumers can receive the same message from all providers. Frequent and informal communication is encouraged and should continue amongst all team members for the planning and coordination of services. It is highly recommended that the recommendations given in the FY 14 Fidelity Review of "the SE team being open to an inclusive team approach with the MH team members, and meeting regularly to discuss consumers and their progression towards employment" is completely utilized and is effective immediately.

3. Collaboration between Employment Specialists and	Rating	Comments
Vocational Rehabilitation Counselors		
The employment specialist and VR counselors have frequent contact for the purpose of discussing shared consumers and identifying potential referrals.	5	Area of strength LMCS should be commended on the work that they have done in making vast improvements in this area, and building a solid relationship with VR. The recent collaboration between the SE staff and the dedicated VR counselor is ideal. LMCS and VR have both received training on the GA IPS <i>Initiative</i> . Currently LMCS and VR have 3 shared consumers, and have 3 potential individuals waiting to be enrolled into VR services. Both agencies are "excited" about the partnership and opportunities for more shared consumers. The VR Counselor and the SE staff meet weekly at LMCS to discuss shared consumers and potential referrals. This new partnership has created great improvements in this area since the previous Fidelity Review (Dec.2014).

4. Vocational unit	Rating	Comments
At least 2 full-time employment specialists and a team leader	2	The SE Supervisor and the ES
comprise the employment unit. They have weekly client-based		comprise the SE unit. However as of
group supervision following the supported employment model in		the date of this Fidelity Review there
which strategies are identified and job leads are shared. They		was a full time vacant ES position.
provide coverage for each other's caseload when needed.		Currently the SE Supervisor is
· · · · · ·		carrying a caseload of 25 SE
		consumers. LCMS has added an
		intern to the unit that is carrying a
		small caseload of up to 5 individuals.
		Although there is an ES intern on site,
		her role does not allow her to meet
		with consumers in the community or
		conduct job development on their
		behalf without the SE Supervisor
		being present. There is no staff
		member providing back-up services
		for the SE Supervisor. It was reported

by the SE Supervisor that
supervision with the former full time
ES was informal, there was not a set
schedule for supervision, and SE unit
meeting is conducted monthly, which
includes the SE Supervisor, ES Intern,
the Director of Recovery Services,
and a case manager.

Recommendations: Ideally, SE supervision should occur in person formally on a weekly basis to promote team work and ongoing strategic planning. Meeting regularly is encouraged so that programmatic challenges and issues can be resolved quickly and further insight, support and guidance to the SE team. It is recommended that mental health practitioners serve as a back-up in the absence and/or vacancy of a SE team member.

5. Role of employment supervisor	Rating	Comments
Supported employment unit is led by a supported employment	3	The SE Supervisor has a clear
team leader. Employment specialists' skills are developed and		understanding of the IPS-SE model,
improved through outcome-based supervision.		and carries a caseload of no more
• One full-time supervisor is responsible for no more than		than 10 consumers. However, at the
10 employment specialists.		time of this Fidelity Review, the SE
 The supervisor does not have other supervisory 		Supervisor was serving a caseload
responsibilities. (Program leaders supervising fewer than		size of 25 individuals, due to her
10 employment specialists may spend a percentage of		taking over the former ES's caseload
time on other supervisor activities on a prorated basis.)		The SE Supervisor ensures that
 Supervisor conducts weekly supported employment 		communication about the SE
supervision designed to review consumer situations and		program is shared amongst the
identify new strategies and ideas to help consumers in		agency, in order to build a more solid
their work		and integrated service between the
 Supervisor communicates with mental health treatment 		MH and SE teams. A SE
team leaders to ensure that services are integrated, to		flyer/brochure was created by the SE
problem solve programmatic issues and to be a champion		Supervisor and has been posted at
for the value of work. Attends a meeting for each mental		the other LMCS clinic sites; this
health treatment team on a quarterly basis.		avenue allows a constant
 Supervisor accompanies employment specialists who are 		communication about SE services
new or having difficulty with job development, in the field		agency-wide. It has been admitted by
monthly to improve skills by observing, modeling and		the SE Supervisor that there has not
giving feedback on skills, e.g., meeting employers for job		been enough time to meet, observe,
development.		or provide modeling and shadowing
 Supervisor reviews current consumer outcomes with 		with the former ES as often as
employment specialists and sets goals to improve		needed. In addition the SE Supervisor
program performance at least quarterly.		conducted supervision monthly.
		Follow up and informal meetings
		were conducted on a daily basis with
		the former ES due to the SE
		Supervisor and ES sharing an office
Recommendations: Continuous field mentoring and shadowing		space.

Recommendations: Continuous field mentoring and shadowing is highly recommended for the Employment Specialist that fills the LMCS ES vacancy as on-going supports are needed to promote team work. The SE Supervisor should play a more active role in SE daily functions and trainings, consistent and adequate training along with supervision is critical to the success of the IPS model. Additionally, the SE Supervisor should continue to be more active, and creative in promoting integration with the case managers and educating them about the IPS-SE model.

6. Zero exclusion criteria	Rating	Comments
All consumers interested in working have access to supported	2	This is an area of concern as it
employment services regardless of job readiness factors,		appears that both the SE team and
substance abuse, symptoms, history of violent behavior, cognition		Case Managers exclude individuals
impairments, treatment non-adherence, and personal		from enrolling into SE services. The
presentation. These apply during supported employment		ADSA case managers are under the
services, too. Employment specialists offer to help with another		impression by the SE team that a
job when one has ended regardless of the reason that the job		consumer with a primary diagnosis of
ended or the number of jobs held. If VR has screening criteria, the		Substance Abuse does not meet the
mental health agency does not use them to exclude anybody.		SPMI or ADA criteria; and therefore
Consumers are not screened out formally or informally.		are not eligible to receive SE services.
		Although it is apparent that the case
		managers have some knowledge of
		the IPS-SE model, some of the case
		managers reported that they would
		not refer individuals to the SE
		program unless the consumers are
		"stable and on their meds, med
		compliant, clean and sober," as well
		as demonstrating "work readiness."
		This was an issue in LCMS FY 14
		Fidelity Review of Case Managers
		expecting consumers to be stable on
		their medications, and "ready for work".

Recommendations: To avoid exclusion, it is recommended that consumers requesting SE services not be excluded based upon staffs' perception of "readiness" for employment, or primary diagnosis. The culture "be ready for work, stable on medications, and clean and sober" must be eliminated immediately, in order to be in compliance with the IPS-SE model. Technical assistance is recommended in this area for the agency, as staff members including the SE program appear not to be fully grasping the concept of the Zero-Exclusion principle. The SE team should immediately stop utilizing consumers' primary diagnosis in order to determine SE eligibility.

7. Agency focus on competitive employment		Comments
Agency promotes competitive work through multiple stra	tegies. 4	The SE Supervisor has created SE
 Agency intake includes questions about interest i 	n	flyers that have been posted at all of
employment.		LCMS clinics. LCMS consumers attend
 Agency includes questions about interest in empl 	oyment	the RESPECT Institutes' graduation
on all annual (or semi-annual) assessment or trea	tment	for their peers; this event gives
plan reviews.		consumers the opportunity to hear
 Agency displays written postings (e.g., brochures) 	bulletin	the success stories of their peers. The
boards, posters) about working and supported		SE Supervisor does not meet with
employment services, in lobby and other waiting	areas.	executive leadership to discuss
 Agency supports ways for consumers to share wo 	rk	information or updates regarding the
stories with other consumers and staff (e.g., ager	cy wide	SE program. SE information and/or
employment recognition events, in-service training	ig, peer	updates are discussed with the
support groups, agency newsletter articles, invite	d	Director of Recovery Services. The
speakers at consumer treatment groups, etc.) at	east	Executive staff are aware of the
twice a year.		number of consumers that were
 Agency measures rate of competitive employmer 	t on at	employed; however the CEO had no
least a quarterly basis and shares outcomes with	agency	knowledge of the SE programs'
leadership and staff.		employment rate.

Recommendations: It is highly noted that the SE team has utilized some of the recommendations given in this area of their FY 14 Fidelity Report. It is recommended that executive leadership become more abreast with the SE program and measures the employment rate and share it throughout leadership meetings as well as agencywide.

8. Exe	cutive team support for supported employment	Rating	Comments
Agency	y executive team members assist with supported	2	The CEO and Clinical Director
emplo	yment implementation and sustainability.		demonstrate some knowledge of
0	Executive Director and Clinical Director demonstrate		the IPS-SE model. Although the CD
	knowledge regarding the principals of evidence-based		meets with the Director of
	supported employment.		Recovery Services two times a
0	Agency QA process includes an explicit review of the SE		month to discuss the SE program,
	program, or components of the program, at least every 6		there appeared to be limited
	months through the use of the Supported Employment		oversight regarding the SE
	Fidelity Scale, or until achieving high fidelity, and at least		program; for example it was stated
	yearly thereafter. Agency QA process uses the results of		that the CD is working with the
	the fidelity assessment to improve SE implementation		Director of Recovery Services in
	and sustainability.		establishing a relationship with VR,
0	At least one member of the executive team actively		however the CD is not hands on the
	participates in SE leadership team (steering committee)		process. This continues to be an
	meetings that occur at least every six months for high		issue for LCMS. The CEO and CD
	fidelity programs and at least quarterly for programs that		were also unaware of the amount
	have not yet achieved high fidelity. Steering committee is		of funded slots LCMS has been
	defined as a diverse group of stakeholders charged with		allotted by the State office. A
	reviewing fidelity, program implementation, and the		steering committee has not been
	service delivery system. Committee develops written		put into place as recommended in
	action plans aimed at developing or sustaining high		the FY 14 Fidelity Review. There is
	fidelity services.		minimal involvement by the CD in
0	The agency CEO/Executive Director communicates how		the quality assurance process, as
	SE services support the mission of the agency and		well as the implementation of
	articulates clear and specific goals for SE and/or		changes within the SE program.
	competitive employment to all staff during the first six		Since the FY 2014 Fidelity Review,
	months and at least yearly annually (i.e., SE Kickoff, all-		LMCS has not developed a steering
	agency meetings, agency newsletters, etc.). This item is		committee. Improvement in this
	not delegated to another administrator.		area is imperative, in order to be
0	The SE program leader shares information about EBP		aligned with the IPS-SE
	barrier and facilitators with the executive team (including		expectations.
	the CEO) at least twice each year. The executive team		
	helps the program leader identify and implement		
	solutions to barriers.		

Recommendations: The Executive staff should be more entrenched with SE services, especially with reaching high fidelity and helping to eliminate barriers. In addition, Directors and the CEO should receive SE monthly reports and discuss data in monthly meetings. It is recommended that LMCS utilizes the recommendations given in the FY 14 Fidelity Review, of developing a steering committee that focuses on program oversight, service delivery, and the developing of written action plans aimed at implementing and sustaining high fidelity services. The employment rate and SE goals should be shared amongst the agency to ensure that seamless services are promoted throughout the agency.

1. Work incentives planning	Rating	Comments
All consumers are offered assistance in obtaining comprehensive,	5	Area of Strength:
individualized work incentives planning before starting a new job		LMCS has improved in this area
and assistance accessing work incentives planning thereafter		since the FY 14 Fidelity Review. The
when making decisions about changes in work hours and pay.		SE staff utilizes and makes referrals
Work incentives' planning includes SSA benefits, medical benefits,		to the Benefits Navigator before and
medication subsidies, housing subsidies, food stamps, spouse and		after a consumer becomes
dependent children benefits, past job retirement benefits and any		employed. According to the Benefits
other source of income. Consumers are provided information		Navigator, 5 referrals have been
and assistance about reporting earnings to SSA, housing		made for consumers to receive
programs, VA programs, etc., depending on the person's benefits.		benefits counseling, 2 of those 5
		referrals met with the BN face -to-
		face. Some of the charts reviewed
		had documentation regarding
		consumers receiving comprehensive
		planning. According to the BN
		consumers are encouraged to
		report any wages to social security
		to avoid overpayments of SSI/SSDI
		benefits. The BN facilitated a brief
		group presentation to LMCS
		consumers in March 2014.

2. Disclosure	Rating	Comments
Employment specialists provide consumers with accurate	5	Area of Strength
information and assist with evaluating their choices to make an		LMCS has made vast improvements
informed decision regarding what is revealed to the employer		in this area since the FY 14 Fidelity
about having a disability.		Review. A unique note system has
 Employment specialists do not require all consumers to 		been developed and implemented
disclose their psychiatric disability at the work site in		that tracks and updates disclosure
order to receive services.		on an on-going basis. The note
 Employment specialists offer to discuss with consumers 		system encourages the ESs to have
the possible costs and benefits (pros and cons) of		an on-going conversation around
disclosure at the work site in advance of consumers		disclosure to the consumers. The SE
disclosing at the work site. Employment specialists		team does not require consumers to
describe how disclosure relates to requesting		disclose their psychiatric disability in
accommodations and the employment specialist's role		order to receive SE services. The
communicating with the employer.		disclosure forms are detailed and
 Employment specialists discuss specific information to be 		specific, and allow consumers to
disclosed (e.g., disclose receiving mental health		have a discussion with the ESs about
treatment, or presence of a psychiatric disability, or		the advantages and disadvantages
difficulty with anxiety, etc.) and offer examples of what		of disclosing to an employer.
could be said to employers.		
 Employment specialists discuss disclosure on more than 		
one occasion (e.g., if consumers have not found		
employment after two months or if consumers reports		
difficulties on the job).		

3. Ongoing, work-based vocational assessment	Rating	Comments
Initial vocational profile/assessment occurs over 2-3 sessions and	5	Area of strength
is updated with information from work experiences in		The vocational assessment that is
competitive jobs. A vocational profile form that includes		utilized by the SE team, and
information about preferences, experiences, skills, current		consumers includes questions which
adjustment, strengths, personal contacts, etc., is updated with		reflect their interests, employment
each new job experience. Aims at problem solving using		goals, strengths, barriers,
environmental assessments and consideration of reasonable		experiences, skills, and the
accommodations. Sources of information include the consumer,		opportunity to update goals as
MH treatment team, clinical records, and with the consumer's		needed. The SE team has done a
permission, from family members and previous employers.		profound job at ensuring the
		vocational assessments are
		implemented, and detailed. All of
		the 6 charts reviewed had
		vocational assessments
		implemented.

4. Rapid search for competitive job	Rating	Comments
Initial employment assessment and face-to-face employer contact by the consumer or the employment specialist about a competitive job occurs within 30 days after program entry.	4	The total first face-to-face employer contact by the consumers and/or the ESs averaged 36.5 days. This information was gathered from the information submitted for this area as well the SE programs' census report.

Recommendations: The IPS-SE model requires that the first face-to-face employer contact must occur within 30 days of admission into SE services by the consumer, the ESs, or both. ESs must ensure that job searches begin no later than 30 days of intake into SE services to ensure compliance in this area of the IPS-SE Fidelity model.

Employment specialists make employer contacts aimed at making a good job match based on consumers' preferences and needs rather than the job match (i.e. those jobs that are readily available). An individualized job search plan is developed and updated with information from the vocational assessment/profile form and new job/educational experiences.2Based on the submitted job developing logs for this area, the reviewers were unable to determine if the conducted job searches were based on consumers' preferences. The logs did not specify the names of the consumers for whom the ESs provided job development. Online job searching is the primary mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ESs explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the SS mets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development is based on the color market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer	later than 30 days of intake into SE services to ensure compliance in		*
a good job match based on consumers' preferences and needs rather than the job market (i.e. those jobs that are readily available). An individualized job searches were updated with information from the vocational assessment/profile form and new job/educational experiences. If the consumers' preferences. The logs did not specify the names of the consumers for whom the ESs provided job development. Online job searchess for whom the ESs provided job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the onsumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preferences is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development is based on the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer	5. Individualized job search	Rating	Comments
rather than the job market (i.e. those jobs that are readily available). An individualized job search plan is developed and updated with information from the vocational assessment/profile form and new job/educational experiences. The logs did not specify the names of the consumers for whom the ESs provided job development. Online job searching is the primary mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that the rigio preferences is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer		2	-
available). An individualized job search plan is developed and updated with information from the vocational assessment/profile form and new job/educational experiences. The logs did not specify the names of the consumers' preferences. The logs did not specify the names of the consumers for whom the ESs provided job development. Online job searching is the primary mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that the rijob preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development no behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			
updated with information from the vocational assessment/profile form and new job/educational experiences.based on consumers' preferences. The logs did not specify the names of the consumers for whom the ESs provided job development. Online job searching is the primary mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the S meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Reviewe stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer	rather than the job market (i.e. those jobs that are readily		reviewers were unable to determine
form and new job/educational experiences. The logs did not specify the names of the consumers for whom the ESs provided job development. Online job searching is the primary mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed wing this Fidelity Review stated that the rigiby Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer	available). An individualized job search plan is developed and		if the conducted job searches were
of the consumers for whom the ESs provided job development. Online job searching is the primary mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer	updated with information from the vocational assessment/profile		based on consumers' preferences.
provided job development. Online job searching is the primary mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ES. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer	form and new job/educational experiences.		The logs did not specify the names
job searching is the primary mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES mests with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development no behalf of the consumer a local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			of the consumers for whom the ESs
mechanism for job development by the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			provided job development. Online
the consumers and the ESs. During interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			job searching is the primary
interviews with consumers; one stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			mechanism for job development by
stated that they and the ES explores job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			the consumers and the ESs. During
job opportunities in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			interviews with consumers; one
computer lab, as opposed to in the community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			stated that they and the ES explores
community. Another consumer stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			job opportunities in the agency's
stated that the ES meets with them in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			computer lab, as opposed to in the
in the community to give job leads only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			community. Another consumer
only, but not to conduct job development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			stated that the ES meets with them
development face-to face in the community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			in the community to give job leads
community. It appeared that job development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			only, but not to conduct job
development is based on the job market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			development face-to face in the
market and not according to consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			community. It appeared that job
consumers preferences; an example of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			development is based on the job
of this is, one of the consumers interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			market and not according to
interviewed during this Fidelity Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			consumers preferences; an example
Review stated that their job preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			of this is, one of the consumers
preference is to work at Walmart, and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			interviewed during this Fidelity
and/or a restaurant. However, according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			Review stated that their job
according to this individuals chart, the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			preference is to work at Walmart,
the ES is conducting job development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			and/or a restaurant. However,
development on behalf of the consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			according to this individuals chart,
consumer at local nursing homes, Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			the ES is conducting job
Goodwill, and Big Lots. In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			development on behalf of the
In addition, neither case notes nor the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			consumer at local nursing homes,
the vocational assessment indicate discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			Goodwill, and Big Lots.
discussions around changes in employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			In addition, neither case notes nor
employment preferences or an explanation of why consumer			the vocational assessment indicate
explanation of why consumer			discussions around changes in
			employment preferences or an
decided to change employment			explanation of why consumer
			decided to change employment

	goals.

Recommendations: The SE team needs to ensure that there is a job search plan in place that highlights consumers' job preferences. Preferences can include job choices that the consumer enjoys, as well as, their personal goals. The individualized job search plan (which is separate from the vocational assessment) should be updated with specific and individualized job interests, gathered from the vocational assessments with updated new job/educational experiences. The job search plan should be individualized and specific to consumers' job preferences. Plans should be specific and detailed. It is noted that some consumers may have the same job interests or may prefer to do "anything"; in this case, ESs should help consumers link their strengths and preferences to specific jobs and work settings, and determine specific reasons why their job preferences are interesting. Face-to-face community job developing allows the SE staff to build relationships with employers, as well as utilizing LMCS as another avenue for employers to explore potential applicants for vacant positions within the community. The ESs should begin to have a more elaborate conversation with consumers about their job interests and goals in order to ensure that they are individualized.

6. Job development- frequent employer contact	Rating	Comments
Each employment specialist makes at least six (6) face-to-face	2	The information gathered for this
employer contacts per week on behalf of consumers looking for		area was based on the submitted
work. An employer contact is counted even when an		job development logs. The job logs
employment specialist meets with the same employer more than		indicated that less than 6 face-to-
one time in a week, and when the consumer is present or not.		face employer contacts were
Consumer-specific and generic contacts are included.		conducted for the months of August
Employment specialists use a weekly tracking form to document		and September 2014. Based on the
employer contacts.		logs, the majority of job
		development took place online. The
		SE Supervisor stated that there was
		a lack of community job
		development on her behalf due to
		other responsibilities needing to be
		completed in the office. The average
		face-to-face total for the months of
		Aug. and Sept. is 3.9 face-to-face
		employer contacts per week. This is
		a repeat deficiency for this area.

Recommendations: The ESs should be making six (6) face-to-face employer contacts per week in order to be in compliance with the IPS-SE model. The ESs should establish an immediate goal of making the required six (6) face-to-face employer contacts per week, in order to increase relationships with employers. If the ES is going to be out of the office for any particular reason or is unable to meet the required 6 face-to-face employer contacts, it is recommended that the ESs make up for any job development time lost. For example if the ES is going to be out for a week on vacation, 12 face-to-face employer contacts should occur before or after the ES's vacation to make up for the missed week. It is also recommended that job development logs are reviewed by the SE Supervisor, and that the SE Supervisor's direct Supervisor on a weekly basis, to ensure that 6 face-to-face employer contacts are being met. In addition 24 face-to-face employer contacts should be conducted per month, in order to meet the 6 face-to-face employer contacts.

7. Job development- quality of employer contact	Rating	Comments
Employment specialists build relationships with employers	3	Community job development is
through multiple visits in person that are planned to learn the		conducted through multiple visits
needs of the employer, convey what the SE program offers to the		according to the SE Supervisor. The
employer, describe consumer strengths that are a good match for		submitted job logs did not reflect for
the employer.		whom ESs provided job

development. The SE Supervisor's
job development skills were
observed by the reviewers for this
area. The SE Supervisor was
observed providing job
1 07
development at an office supply
store across the street from LMCS,
and at a Subway restaurant. During
the employer contact, the SE
Supervisor asked the employer if he
was aware of what LMCS was and
the population served. The SE
Supervisor proceeded to describe to
the employer, the population served
by LMCS. At the Subway restaurant,
the SE Supervisor was informed that
the manager was not available to
meet. The SE Supervisor did not
inquire about any job openings, or
leave a business card to be given to
the manager to follow up.

Recommendations: ESs should have a particular consumer in mind when conducting job development and employer engagement in the community, while trying to build employer relationships. It is recommended that the ESs convey consumer's strengths and skills and discuss how the consumer's strengths align with employer needs. Constant and consistent re-engagement with employers helps to build employers relationships, and is ideal when discussing employment opportunities, and consumer preferences. Documentation should capture the ESs discussions and efforts in this area.

8. Diversity of job types	Rating	Comments
Employment specialists assist consumers in obtaining different	4	LMCS currently has 82% of the
types of jobs.		employed consumers (ADA only)
		who are actively enrolled in the SE
		program working in diverse job
		types. Two of the 11 employed
		consumers are working in janitorial.
		Non-ADA employed consumers
		were not tracked or considered for
		this review.

Recommendations: Continue to assist individuals in obtaining diverse types of jobs; the ESs should help consumers to explore a range of possible job options. All consumers receiving SE services should be calculated.

9. Diversity of employers	Rating	Comments
Employment specialists assist consumers in obtaining jobs with	5	Area of strength
different employers.		Due to no information being
		submitted by the SE staff for this
		area; However, since the
		information for this area is
		captured monthly in LMCS's
		programmatic reporting census, the
		census reports was reviewed in
		order to score accordingly for this
		area. Based on the census reports
		100% of employers in which
		consumers are employed, are

	diversified. The SE team continues
	to demonstrate strength in this
	area.

10. Competitive jobs	Rating	Comments
Employment specialists provide competitive job options that have	5	Area of Strength.
permanent status rather than temporary or time-limited status		Out of the 11 consumers employed,
(e.g. transitional employment slots). Competitive jobs pay at least		100% of them are competitively
minimum wage, are jobs that anyone can apply for, and are not		employed. The positions are also
set aside for people with disabilities.		permanent in status. LMCS
		continues to demonstrate strength
		in this area.

11. Individualized follow along supports	Rating	Comments
Consumers receive different types of support for working a job	4	Most consumers that are employed
that are based on the job, consumer preferences, work history,		on the ES's caseload have various
needs, etc. Supports are provided by a variety of people,		types of employment, and are
including treatment team members (e.g. medication changes,		competitively employed. Consumers
social skills training, encouragement), family, friends, co-workers		receive different types of supports
(i.e. natural supports) and employment specialists. Employment		for employment, work history, and
specialist also provides employer supports (e.g. educational		needs. Individualized follow-along
information, job accommodations) at consumer's request.		supports should be integrated
Employment specialist offers help with career development (i.e.		among all service lines. There was
assistance with education, a more desirable job, or more		no concrete evidence that the
preferred job duties).		employed consumers were working
		jobs of their preference.

Recommendations: The SE staff should ensure that follow-along supports for employed consumers are taking place, and are documented in the consumer's case files. Once a consumer becomes employed, conversations regarding the types of follow-along support the consumer wants should occur immediately. Follow-along supports includes, but are not limited to; on the job site visits, phone calls, checking in with the employer, meeting the consumer in the community or at the agency, weekly, or monthly.

12. Time unlimited follow along supports		Comments
Employment specialists have face-to-face contact within one (1)	4	The SE Supervisor reported that
week before starting a job, within three (3) days after starting a		discussions around step-down plans
job, weekly for the first month and at least monthly for a year or		occur. However, there was no
more on average, after working steadily and desired by		documentation of these
consumers. Consumers are transitioned to step down job		conversations taking place between
supports from a mental health treatment team member following		the ESs and consumers.
steady employment. Employment specialists contact consumers		
within three (3) days of learning about the job loss.		

Recommendations: The SE staff should ensure to have discussions with consumers regarding the needed followalong supports. In addition, conversations around creating step-down plans and documentation of these plans should begin immediately. The ESs should assist consumers in identifying supports once they are stepped down from SE services, a plan should be in place as to what kind of support will phase in to assist the consumer, as the ESs phase out. Going forward, any consumer that obtains employment should receive provision of follow-along supports and creation of a step-down plan. The SE Supervisor and the ES should review case loads and determine which consumers are stable in their employment roles, staff these consumers with the treatment team members, and step-down support plans need to be implemented immediately.

13. Community-based services	Rating	Comments
Employment services such as engagement, job finding and follow- along supports are provided in natural community settings by all employment specialists.	2	This is an area of repeat deficiency for LMCS. Job developing activities primarily take place in the agency's computer lab, as opposed to in the community. The SE team has not met the required 6 face-to-face employer contacts in the community according to the
		submitted job developing logs, and
		the consumers' interviews.

Recommendations: Supported Employment is a community-based service, which means that the majority of the ESs' day should be in the community developing employer contacts and meeting with consumers. The SE team should be spending 65% of time in the community conducting employment related activities a week; such as meeting with consumers to conducut job development, or to meet with employers. The ESs can ensure that the required 65% of time is spent in the community, by calculating the numbers of hours the ES works and the total amount of time per day or week the ESs should be spending in the community to account for the 65%. For example; if the ES works a 7 hour shift then the ESs should be conducting 5 hours of community-based services a day to meet the 65%. Following these recommendations would ensure accurate and beneficial SE services.

14. Assertive engagement and outreach by integrated treatment team		Comments
 Service termination is not based on missed appointments or fixed time limits. Systematic documentation of outreach attempts. Engagement and outreach attempts are made by integrated team members. Multiple home/community visits. Coordinated visits by employment specialist with integrated team members. Connect with family, when applicable. Once it is clear that the consumer no longer wants to work or continue SE services, the team stops outreach. 	3	There was no systematic documentation of integrated outreach attempts. In addition there was no evidence of the MH team members and SE staff coordinating visits with consumers in the community.

Recommendations: LMCS will need to ensure that assertive engagement and outreach attempts by integrated treatment team members is takes place. If a consumer is not engaged or has missed several scheduled appointments; outreach attempts should be made either by the SE team, the case managers, or both. When a consumer is discharged from the agency, due to non-engagement or non-compliance with services, viable solutions should be discussed during treatment team meetings.

Summary:

LMCS's SE program currently has 25 consumers enrolled in SE services, with 11 ADA consumers competitively employed. LMCS has a vacant ES position and is currently interviewing to fill the position. LMCS has made several changes and improvements to the SE program since the FY 14 Fidelity Review. However, there are still major areas that need immediate attention, in order to meet the expectations of the IPS SE model. Communication about the IPS model's principles and the SE program should be enhanced with all of the agency's integrated staff, as well as, executive leadership; with a focus on the "zero-exclusion" principal. The SE staff should pay close attention to ensuring the 6 required face-to-face employer contacts in the community per week are adhered to; and ensure that 65% of the time is spent in the community. It is imperative that job development activities occur in the community, and not at LMCSs computer lab. The SE Supervisor should immediately review caseloads to determine which consumers to step down to other support services and which consumers to discharge from SE services, due to non-engagement after numerous attempts have been made to reach the consumers; therefore individuals on the waiting list can be contacted and enrolled into SE services.

The Supported Employment Fidelity Scale score is 88, which indicates Fair Fidelity.

All programs receiving a review will be required to address any item that scores below a 3 with a quality improvement plan. Each provider is strongly encouraged to address any additional areas/items as they see important in their overall program's quality improvement. The following fidelity items will need to be addressed with a Quality Improvement Plan:

Organization-1	Integration of rehabilitation with mental health through team assignment
Organization-2	Integration of rehabilitation with mental health through frequent team member contact
Organization-4	Vocational Unit
Organization-6	Zero Exclusion Criteria
Organization-8	Executive Team support for SE
Services-5	Individualized job search
Services-6	Job development-frequent employer contact
Services-13	Community-based services

SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT FIDELITY SCALE SCORE SHEET

Staffing	Score
1. Caseload size	4
2. Employment services staff	5
3. Vocational generalists	5
Organization	
1. Integration of rehabilitation with mental health through team assignment	2
2. Integration of rehabilitation with mental health through frequent team member contact	1
3. Collaboration between employment specialists and Vocational Rehabilitation Counselors	5
4. Vocational unit	2
5. Role of employment supervisor	3
6. Zero exclusion criteria	2
7. Agency focus on competitive employment	4
8. Executive team support for SE	4
Services	2
1. Work incentives planning	
2. Disclosure	5
3. Ongoing, work-based vocational assessment	5
4. Rapid search for competitive job	5
5. Individualized job search	2
6. Job development- Frequent employer contact	2
7. Job development- Quality of employer contact	3
8. Diversity of job types	4
9. Diversity of employers	5
10. Competitive jobs	5
11. Individualized follow-along supports	4
12. Time-unlimited follow-along supports	4
13. Community-based services	2
14. Assertive engagement and outreach by integrated treatment team	3
Total:	88

Total Score Key		
115-125	Exemplary Fidelity	
100-114	Good Fidelity	
74-99	Fair Fidelity	
73 and below	Not Supported Employment	