

HODAC FY05 Helpline Statistical Analysis

August 30, 2005

by



ANOVA Business Analysts

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	5
About the Program	6
Methodology Overview	7
Demographics	9
Gender – State Level	9
Gender – Regional Level	. 10
Region 1	. 10
Region 2	. 10
Region 3	. 11
Region 4	. 11
Region 5	. 12
Region 6	. 12
Region 7	. 13
Ethnicity	. 14
State Level Ethnicity	. 14
Region 1	. 16
Region 2	. 17
Region 3	. 18
Region 4	. 19
Region 5	. 20
Region 6	. 21
Region 7	. 22
Employment Status – State Level	. 24
Regional Level Employment Status	. 26
Region 1	. 27
Region 2	. 28
Region 3	. 29
Region 4	. 30
Region 5	. 31
Region 6	. 32
Region 7	. 33
Age Status – State Level	. 34
Individual Ages – Single Years	. 34
Age - Individual Caller Utilization	
Chronological Data	. 36
Overview	. 36
Hourly Call History	. 36
Monthly Call History	. 38
Seasonal Call History	. 40
Regions	. 42
MHDDAD Regional Breakdown	. 42
Helpline Caller Usage by Region	. 43
Population	
Regional Population versus Service Utilization Population	. 47



Statewide Regional Population – 2004 Census	
Needs	49
Needs Discussion	49
Multiple Needs	50
Top 15	
Complete List	52
Regional Needs Breakdown	57
Region 1	57
Region 2	58
Region 3	59
Region 4	60
Region 5	61
Region 6	
Region 7	
Substance Abuse Needs – By Region	
Region 1	
Region 2	
Region 3	
Region 4	
Region 5	
Region 6	
Region 7	
Specific Focus Areas	
Alcohol	
Overview	
Demographic Breakdown – Alcohol-Related Calls	
Alcohol Service Utilization – County Breakdown	
Crack	
Overview	
Demographics Breakdown – Crack Related Calls	
Crack-Related Service Utilization – County Breakdown	
Methamphetamines	
1	
Demographic Breakdown – Methamphetamines-Related Calls	
Methamphetamines Service Utilization - County Breakdown	
Categorical Supposition	
Needs Breakdown – Gender-Based	
Overall Total	
Regional Gender Need Breakdown	
Region 1	
Region 2	
Region 3	
Region 4	
Region 5	
Region 6	
Region 7	
-	



Need Breakdown by Regional Ethnicity	
African American	
American Indian	
Asian Pacific	
Caucasian	
Hispanic	
Multi Ethnic	
Anova Business Analysts, LLC.	
Summary	



Executive Summary

An analysis was completed upon data collected for Helpline Georgia for the reporting period of July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005. 13,555 calls were analyzed based upon a series of demographic and behavioral categories.

Of those individuals utilizing Helpline Georgia's services, 57.49% were male, compared to a 42.51% utilization rate for females. The call rates for males and females have remained virtually unchanged during the two year reporting period. Only Region 4 realized a higher rate of female utilization with females calling into the Helpline 51.95% of the time as opposed to Region 4 Males utilizing the service 48.05%.

Categorical Supposition was created in an effort to link demographic information gathered with the corresponding needs of individual callers. Six Main Categories were created for standardization purposes representing 96.55% of 13,555 logged calls. The remaining 3.30% of calls were logged within the Category of 'Multiple Needs'. This category was then broken down to capture the remaining calls for analyzing.

A staggering 75.37% of all calls received at Helpline Georgia were Substance Abuse based. Of these calls, 25.51% were individuals inquiring about Crack, 20.87% were individuals concerned with Alcohol based concerns, and 12.17% of calls were concerning Methamphetamines.

Regionally, Alcohol-based calls were received at the Helpline rate of 35.28% in Region 2, with the smallest number of Alcohol-based calls being received from Region 4 at 6.89%. The highest rate of Crack-based calls was received from Region 2 at 37.42%, with Region 6 yielding the lowest percentage of calls at 7.14%. Methamphetamines callers were most often calling from Region 1, netting 36.30% of all Methamphetamines related calls. Region 6 yielded the lowest rate of Methamphetamines related calls at 2.42%

When broken further into county service utilization for each Substance Abuse focused upon, the results further verify Regional outcomes. Fulton County represented 20.40% of all Alcohol-related calls, with Cobb and Gwinnett rounding out the top three County utilizations at 7.42% and 5.73%. Cobb County is represented by Region 1 while the other two top producing counties are found within Region 2. Crack-related calls yielded slightly different results. First placed Fulton County scored 22.64% of all Crack calls, while Dekalb County represented the second highest utilization of services for crack related issues this year, netting 5.38%. Chatham County, in Region 7 fell to third place for this year at 5.23%. Cobb County at 7.88% fell to second place this year, trading places with Fulton County which logged 9.70% of calls concerning Methamphetamines. This year, Houston county, in Region 4 became the third highest user of the Helpline for Methamphetamines at 5.52%. Gwinnett County fell to fourth place, logging 5.45% of calls regarding Methamphetamines.

Analysis is broken down into top level, or State level results, secondary level, or Regional level results, and tertiary level, or specific focuses based upon regional breakdowns.

A complete and thorough analysis of findings is provided.



Company Profile and History

HODAC, Inc. began as The Houston Drug Action Council in 1970, shortly following the Atlanta International Rock Festival, also known as the Byron Rock Festival, which was held in neighboring Peach County. The Rock Festival brought to light the need for a drug intervention program in the county. Some concerned citizens started to look at the problem of rising drug use and teen pregnancies in Houston County. By 1973, The Houston Drug Action Council was incorporated and the staff size had tripled.

HODAC's priority has always been helping children who are having problems in their homes who are abused, delinquent, dealing with pregnancy or drug use. Since that time, HODAC's programs have increased as needs were identified in the community. Programs such as: Gateway Cottage, a transitional shelter for women with children who are coming out of drug and alcohol treatment facilities; Student and Family Prevention Services, working with high risk kids in dealing with an array of problems such as conflict resolution and anger management; Helpline Georgia, a statewide toll-free hotline providing information and referrals for crime victims, gambling addiction, drug and alcohol abuse and domestic violence; Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program and Teen Headquarters, designed to assist teens with prevention of pregnancy, risks involved in having children, and alternative activities to reduce the number of juvenile crimes and pregnancies; and HODAC's Victim Resource Center, offering comprehensive services to crime victims and violence prevention education to the community.

The Houston Drug Action Council, Inc. officially changed its name in 1999 to HODAC, Inc.

About the Program

The Governor of Georgia Commission on Drug Awareness and Gambling provides information and referral to treatment programs for substance abuse and pathological gambling problems for the residents of Georgia.

Georgia Helpline contractors, HODAC, Inc. completed statistical analysis of data collected for each client utilizing the Helpline service. Raw data, including demographic information and the nature of the call, was collected from Georgia Helpline client calls for the period of July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005. Entries missing key fields of data, or information that was incorrectly entered, were deleted to avoid Type I statistical errors.

13,555 individual calls were analyzed for the period July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005. This is a 1.85% decrease over the reporting period of July 1, 2003-June 30, 2004.

Of 13, 555 calls, 222 individual needs were reported that were categorized into six Main Categories. These categories included: Substance Abuse/Addiction; General Information/Inquires; Criminal/Legal Reporting; Mental Health; Abuse/Neglect; and Medical/Health Inquiries. This was completed in an effort to more effectively capture Caller data and report upon analytical findings. Secondary levels of multiple caller needs were reported upon. These calls include primary categories, plus secondary category issues. 99.85% of all calls are captured utilizing this method.

Analysis was performed at a primary, secondary and tertiary level. Gender, Employment Status, Age, Caller's Needs, Ethnicity, Chronological History, as well as Population Levels and Service Utilization was analyzed at the State level. The above was



also broken down into the seven Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities and Addictive Diseases regions for the State of Georgia and compared further between Gender groups within each region.

Due to the preponderance of Substance Abuse inquiries throughout the reporting period, this Need was highlighted in the analysis. The top Substance Abuse inquiries were recorded and graphed for each region. Further, special focus was placed upon Crack, Methamphetamines and Alcohol calls received during the reporting period. A complete analysis follows.

Methodology Overview

Helpline Georgia contractors, HODAC, Inc. completed statistical analysis of data collected for each client utilizing the Helpline service. Raw data, including demographic information and the nature of the call, was collected from Georgia Helpline client calls for the period of July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005. Data was divided between single 'need' and multiple 'need' call categories utilizing a hierarchical method of six 'Main' categories, with sub-categorical entries broken down further to delineate actual caller inquiries. Entries missing key fields of data, or information that was incorrectly entered, were deleted to avoid Type I statistical errors.

15,587 callers initially utilized Helpline Georgia during FY 2005. This represents a slight increase of 0.84% over FY 2004's initial utilization. 2032 entries were deleted due to missing or incorrect data collection. This represents a 23.45% increase in 'dirty' or missing data that was required to be deleted. 13,555 calls were kept for analysis. This represents 86.96% of all logged calls for FY 2005. Although this represents a 2.67% increase in the percentage of call entries that were removed due to data error or missing data 86.96% is still a commendable percentage of useable data. It is important for HODAC to analyze the cause of the increased occurrence of irreparable or missing data entry in an effort to curb and reverse this downward trend. Of the 13,555 individual calls analyzed for the period July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005, there is a slight 1.85% decrease in kept calls over the reporting period of July 1, 2003-June 30, 2004. This decrease can be attributed to the number of call entries for FY 2005 are slightly greater at 15,587 calls over the 15,457 calls logged in FY 2004.

Of 13,555 calls, 222 individual needs were reported that were categorized into six Main Categories. These categories included: Substance Abuse; General Information/Inquires; Criminal/Legal Reporting; Mental Health; Abuse/Neglect; Medical/Health Inquiries. This was completed in an effort to more effectively capture caller data and report upon analytical findings. Analysis was performed at a primary, secondary and tertiary level. Gender, Employment Status, Age, Caller's Needs, Ethnicity, Chronological History, as well as Population Levels and Service Utilization was analyzed at the State level. The above was also broken down into the seven Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities and Addictive Diseases regions for the State of Georgia and compared further between Gender groups within each region.



Due to the preponderance of Substance Abuse inquiries throughout the reporting period, this Need was highlighted in the analysis. The top eight Substance Abuse inquiries were recorded and graphed for each region. Further, special focus was placed upon Crack, Methamphetamines and Alcohol calls received during the reporting period. A complete analysis follows. Needs were also assessed at the Regional level based upon Gender and Ethnicity.



Demographics

HODAC gathered a series of demographic information on each of its 13,555 clients calling to utilize the Helpline service during the reporting period in an effort to track and measure Helpline effectiveness and proper delivery of services. Gender, Age, Ethnicity, Employment Status, Location, Need for calling, Date and Time called is some of the demographics tracked for every call.

A thorough investigation and analysis follows for demographics at the State, Regional, and tertiary level (i.e. Gender versus Need; Region versus Gender Need).

Gender – State Level

Gender specific utilization habits were virtually identical during the reporting period of July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005 as compared with the last year's data. Male callers still utilized the Helpline service at a greater rate than females during the reporting period. Interestingly, while Region 2 showed a higher utilization of services from males during FY 2004, only Region 4 realized this phenomenon during FY 2005. Future reporting periods are needed to be able to make recommendations as to why males utilize the service more and why certain regions realize a shift in gender habits during any one reporting period.

Statewide Calls by Sex		
	2004	2005
Female	42.55%	42.51%
Male	57.45%	57.49%





Gender – Regional Level

Region 1		
Female	1046	40.89%
Male	1512	59.11%
	2558	100.00%



Region 2		
Female	1816	40.48%
Male	2670	59.52%
	4486	100.00%





Region	3
--------	---

Region 3		
Female	728	42.25%
Male	995	57.75%
	1723	100.00%



Region 4		
Female	837	51.95%
Male	775	48.05%
	1612	100.00%





Region 5

	Region 5		
Fe	emale	491	41.30%
Μ	ale	603	58.70%
		1094	100.00%



Region 6		
Female	380	41.30%
Male	540	58.70%
	920	100.00%





Region 7		
Female	463	39.85%
Male	699	60.15%
	1162	100.00%





Ethnicity

State Level Ethnicity

Current Helpline statistics showing service utilization according to Ethnicity are displayed below. There was a decrease in African American, American Indian and Multi Ethnic ethnicities utilizing the service, however, Asian Pacific and Hispanic ethnicities are utilizing the service more. The Asian Pacific utilization is most striking when comparing FY 2004 with FY 2005, with calls to the Helpline nearly doubling over the two reporting periods. This can be attributed in part to the increase of both sectors within the population of Georgia. It will be interesting to view over time how knowledge of the Helpline, as well as cultural acceptance of such a service will affect overall service utilization patterns between ethnicities.

Caller Breakdown by Ethnicity						
	2004	2005				
			%			
	% Total	% Total	Change			
Caucasian	59.18%	59.52%	0.58%			
African American	37.59%	36.72%	-2.32%			
Hispanic	2.22%	2.32%	4.35%			
American Indian	0.25%	0.24%	-5.57%			
Asian Pacific	0.52%	1.01%	94.36%			
Multi-Ethnic	0.23%	0.20%	-13.40%			
	100.00%	100.00%				

Actual Calls by Ethnicity					
	2004 2005				
	# Calls	# Calls			
Caucasian	8174	8068			
African American	5192	4977			
Hispanic	307	314			
American Indian	34	32			
Asian Pacific	72	137			
Multi-Ethnic	32	27			







Regional Level Ethnicity – Gender Specific

Calls were broken down further not only into Regional components, but also by gender. This was deemed important to be able to pinpoint different ethnicity utilization patterns. In future, marketing campaigns and issue specific educational notices can be geared to specific population sectors.

Region 1						
Calls By Ethnicity and Gender						
% Males % Female						
African American	18.13%	274	15.01%	157		
American Indian	0.13%	2	0.10%	1		
Asian/Pac.Island	1.39%	21	0.96%	10		
Caucasian	76.44%	1155	82.12%	859		
Hispanic	3.64%	55	1.82%	19		
Multi-Ethnic	0.26%	4	0.00%	0		
	100.00%	1511	100.00%	1046		







Region	2
--------	---

Region 2						
Calls By Ethnicity and Gender						
% Males % Females						
African American	52.28%	1396	49.67%	902		
American Indian	0.34%	9	0.55%	10		
Asian/Pac.Island	0.67%	18	1.76%	32		
Caucasian	42.06%	1123	44.93%	816		
Hispanic	4.27%	114	2.70%	49		
Multi-Ethnic	0.37%	10	0.39%	7		
	100.00%	2670	100.00%	1816		







Region 3

Region 3						
Calls By Ethnicity and Gender % Males % Females						
African American	31.46%	313	28.16%	205		
American Indian	0.00%	0	0.14%	1		
Asian/Pac.Island	0.40%	4	0.96%	7		
Caucasian	67.04%	667	69.92%	509		
Hispanic	1.11%	11	0.82%	6		
Multi-Ethnic	0.00%	0	0.00%	0		
	100.00%	995	100.00%	728		







Region 4						
Calls	Calls By Ethnicity and Gender					
	%	Males	%	Females		
African American	34.32%	266	37.83%	317		
American Indian	0.00%	0	0.24%	2		
Asian/Pac.Island	0.26%	2	0.95%	8		
Caucasian	64.00%	496	59.67%	500		
Hispanic	1.29%	10	1.31%	11		
Multi-Ethnic	0.13%	1	0.00%	0		
	100.00%	775	100.00%	838		







Region 5	
-----------------	--

Region 5 Calls By Ethnicity and Gender						
	% Males % Females					
African American	35.66%	215	31.57%	155		
American Indian	0.17%	1	0.00%	0		
Asian/Pac.Island	0.17%	1	1.43%	7		
Caucasian	61.69%	372	65.99%	324		
Hispanic	1.99%	12	1.02%	5		
Multi-Ethnic	0.33%	2	0.00%	0		
	100.00%	603	100.00%	491		







Region 6							
	Region 6						
Calls By Ethnicity and Gender							
% Males % Females							
African American	42.04%	227	41.05%	156			
American Indian	0.19%	1	0.53%	2			
Asian/Pac.Island	1.11%	6	1.58%	6			
Caucasian	56.30%	304	56.05%	213			
Hispanic	0.37%	2	0.79%	3			
Multi-Ethnic	0.00%	0	0.00%	0			
	100.00%	540	100.00%	380			







Re	Region 7						
Reg	Region 7						
Calls By Ethn	Calls By Ethnicity and Gender						
	% Males % Females						
African American		229		165			
American Indian		2		1			
Asian/Pac.Island		6		9			
Caucasian	Caucasian 448 282						
Hispanic		12		5			
Multi-Ethnic 2 1							
		699		463			









Employment Status – State Level

Employment Status findings were reported at the State Level and Regional Level. At the Regional Level, Employment Status was divided by Gender for each Region to more effectively capture caller behavior for each area, and to delineate between Male and Female preferences.

At the State Level, Unemployed individuals utilized the service 62.69%, representing an increase between 2004 and 2005. This equates to 8,498 calls out of 13,555 made to the Helpline during the reporting period. Fulltime Employed individuals made 3,221 calls, of 13,555, representing a decrease of 15.04% calls, while Unemployed Students made 420 of 13,555 or 3.10% of calls.

It is interesting to note that within all regions, Unemployed callers logged the most calls, with Fulltime Employed individuals logging the second most calls in all regions. Breaking the statistics down further, it is revealed that Disabled individuals utilized the service an impressive 59.44% more in FY 2005 than in FY 2004. Similarly, temporary workers, homemakers and the ill called in much greater number during the FY 2005 reporting period over last year's reporting period. As the ethnic dispersion of Georgia's population shifts from predominately African American and Caucasian to more of a mix with Hispanic and Asian Pacific individuals, it will be interesting to note the employment status utilization of the Helpline in years to come. It can be gleaned that perhaps a larger proportion of temporary workers and homemakers will utilize the service.

Regionally, Region 2 population utilized the Helpline in far greater numbers over any other region. This is similar to FY 2004's results, with the greater Metro Atlanta area logging more calls than any other.

It will be interesting to note the trend of Veterans utilizing the service in future reporting periods, as they return from active duty and attempt to re-integrate into everyday society.

Employment Status					
	2004	2005			
	% of Total Calls				
Disabled	2.07%	3.36%			
Employed - Full Time	27.45%	23.76%			
Employed - Part Time	3.40%	3.67%			
Homemaker	0.43%	1.01%			
Illness	0.06%	0.27%			
Maternity	0.04%	0.07%			
Retired	1.38%	1.28%			
Student (Not Employed)	3.58%	3.10%			
Temporary Worker	0.33%	0.69%			
Unemployed	61.10%	62.69%			
Veteran	0.17%	0.10%			
	100.00%	100.00%			



Employment Status					
	2004	2005	%		
	# of	Calls	Change		
Disabled	286	456	59.44%		
Employed - Full Time	3791	3221	-15.04%		
Employed - Part Time	469	498	6.18%		
Homemaker	60	137	128.33%		
Illness	8	36	350.00%		
Maternity	5	9	80.00%		
Retired	190	174	-8.42%		
Student (Not Employed)	495	420	-15.15%		
Temporary Worker	45	93	106.67%		
Unemployed	8439	8498	0.70%		
Veteran	23	13	-43.48%		
	13811	13555	-1.85%		





State Overview 2005								
	Calls by Employment Status							
	Region1 Region2 Region3 Region4 Region5 Region6 Regio							
				# Calls				
Disabled	68	122	61	95	36	20	54	
Employed full-time	614	1133	347	346	265	237	279	
Employed part-time	108	177	50	78	27	23	35	
Homemaker	26	46	11	18	16	15	5	
Illness	7	10	1	9	3	3	3	
Maternity	0	2	1	1	3	1	1	
Retired	36	47	20	28	11	18	14	
Student (not employed)	91	120	54	65	33	22	35	
Temporary work	15	43	11	5	8	5	6	
Unemployed	1589	2783	1165	968	690	573	730	
Veteran	3	3	2	0	2	3	0	
Total	2557	4486	1723	1613	1094	920	1162	

Regional Level Employment Status



Region 1						
Calls by Employm	ent Stat	tus				
	# Calls	% of Calls				
Disabled	68	2.66%				
Employed full-time	614	24.01%				
Employed part-time	108	4.22%				
Homemaker	26	1.02%				
Illness	7	0.27%				
Maternity	0	0.00%				
Retired	36	1.41%				
Student (not employed)	91	3.56%				
Temporary work	15	0.59%				
Unemployed	1589	62.14%				
Veteran	3	0.12%				
Total	2557	100.00%				





Region 2					
Calls by Employm	ent Stat	tus			
# % of Calls Calls					
Disabled	122	2.72%			
Employed full-time	1133	25.26%			
Employed part-time	177	3.95%			
Homemaker	46	1.03%			
Illness	10	0.22%			
Maternity	2	0.04%			
Retired	47	1.05%			
Student (not employed)	120	2.67%			
Temporary work	43	0.96%			
Unemployed	2783	62.04%			
Veteran	3	0.07%			
Total	4486	100.00%			





Region 3						
Calls by Employm	Calls by Employment Status					
	# Calls	% of Calls				
Disabled	61	3.54%				
Employed full-time	347	20.14%				
Employed part-time	50	2.90%				
Homemaker	11	0.64%				
Illness	1	0.06%				
Maternity	1	0.06%				
Retired	20	1.16%				
Student (not employed)	54	3.13%				
Temporary work	11	0.64%				
Unemployed	1165	67.61%				
Veteran	2	0.12%				
Total	1723	100.00%				





Region 4						
Calls by Employm	ent Stat	us				
	#	% of				
	Calls	Calls				
Disabled	95	5.89%				
Employed full-time	346	21.45%				
Employed part-time	78	4.84%				
Homemaker	18	1.12%				
Illness	9	0.56%				
Maternity	1	0.06%				
Retired	28	1.74%				
Student (not employed)	65	4.03%				
Temporary work	5	0.31%				
Unemployed	968	60.01%				
Veteran	0	0.00%				
Total	1613	100.00%				





Region 5					
Calls by Employm	ent Stat	us			
	#	% of			
	Calls	Calls			
Disabled	36	3.29%			
Employed full-time	265	24.22%			
Employed part-time	27	2.47%			
Homemaker	16	1.46%			
lliness	3	0.27%			
Maternity	3	0.27%			
Retired	11	1.01%			
Student (not employed)	33	3.02%			
Temporary work	8	0.73%			
Unemployed	690	63.07%			
Veteran	2	0.18%			
Total	1094	100.00%			





Region 6						
Calls by Employm	ent Stat	us				
	# % of					
	Calls	Calls				
Disabled	20	2.17%				
Employed full-time	237	25.76%				
Employed part-time	23	2.50%				
Homemaker	15	1.63%				
Illness	3	0.33%				
Maternity	1	0.11%				
Retired	18	1.96%				
Student (not employed)	22	2.39%				
Temporary work	5	0.54%				
Unemployed	573	62.28%				
Veteran	3	0.33%				
Total	920	100.00%				





Region 7						
Calls by Employm	ent Stat	us				
	#	% of				
	Calls	Calls				
Disabled	54	4.65%				
Employed full-time	279	24.01%				
Employed part-time	35	3.01%				
Homemaker	5	0.43%				
Illness	3	0.26%				
Maternity	1	0.09%				
Retired	14	1.20%				
Student (not employed)	35	3.01%				
Temporary work	6	0.52%				
Unemployed	730	62.82%				
Veteran	0	0.00%				
Total	1162	100.00%				







Age Status – State Level

Individual Ages – Single Years

To effectively capture caller age, HODAC records the actual age of each caller. This field commenced collecting data April 11, 2004 in accordance with the State of Georgia's request. FY 2005 was the first full year of reporting individual caller age. This allows greater analytical and quantitative accuracy when attempting to portray the profile of the Helpline client.

The highest rate of service utilization occurred with clients the age of 40 with 702 calls representing 5.18% of 13,555 calls. The lowest rate of usage was .01% and represented both spectrums of age at 2, 3 and 86 and 91 years of age.





Age - Individual Caller Utilization

	# of			# of			# of	
Age	Callers	Percentage	Age	Callers	Percentage	Age	Callers	Percentage
2	1	0.01%	27	396	2.92%	50	211	1.56%
3	1	0.01%	28	346	2.55%	51	90	0.66%
5	2	0.01%	29	313	2.31%	52	115	0.85%
6	2	0.01%	30	519	3.83%	53	91	0.67%
7	3	0.02%	31	300	2.21%	54	82	0.60%
9	2	0.01%	32	418	3.08%	55	101	0.75%
10	5	0.04%	33	448	3.31%	56	76	0.56%
11	7	0.05%	34	457	3.37%	57	53	0.39%
12	11	0.08%	35	535	3.95%	58	46	0.34%
13	25	0.18%	36	430	3.17%	59	25	0.18%
14	39	0.29%	37	349	2.57%	60	25	0.18%
15	58	0.43%	38	480	3.54%	61	21	0.15%
16	106	0.78%	39	360	2.66%	62	45	0.33%
17	192	1.42%	40	704	5.18%	63	28	0.21%
18	185	1.36%	41	351	2.59%	64	22	0.16%
19	288	2.12%	42	427	3.15%	65	23	0.17%
20	281	2.07%	43	346	2.55%	66	18	0.13%
21	357	2.63%	44	310	2.29%	67	13	0.10%
22	308	2.27%	45	450	3.32%	68	21	0.15%
23	434	3.20%	46	261	1.93%	69	11	0.08%
24	420	3.10%	47	261	1.93%	70	15	0.11%
25	489	3.61%	48	180	1.33%	71	6	0.04%
26	348	2.57%	49	151	1.11%	72	8	0.06%

	# of	
Age	Callers	Percentage
73	5	0.04%
74	4	0.03%
75	6	0.04%
76	2	0.01%
77	2	0.01%
78	5	0.04%
79	2	0.01%
80	7	0.05%
81	4	0.03%
82	7	0.05%
83	2	0.01%
84	1	0.01%
85	2	0.01%
86	1	0.01%
88	2	0.01%
91	1	0.01%
94	2	0.01%



Chronological Data

Overview

Data was extracted to determine service utilization and Caller frequency through the reporting period of July 1, 2004-June30, 2005. Call frequency was measured hourly, monthly, and seasonally to assess Caller's habits throughout the year.

Results were interesting and will become more important after several years of measurement, when trend analysis can be utilized to identify certain Caller's behaviors.

Hourly Call History

Hourly History		
1	43	0.32%
2	27	0.20%
3	26	0.19%
4	14	0.10%
5	26	0.19%
6	28	0.21%
7	80	0.59%
8	679	5.01%
9	1204	8.88%
10	1380	10.18%
11	1387	10.23%
12	1354	9.99%
13	1331	9.82%
14	1186	8.75%
15	1125	8.30%
16	822	6.06%
17	617	4.55%
18	607	4.48%
19	464	3.42%
20	383	2.83%
21	309	2.28%
22	260	1.92%
23	163	1.20%
24	40	0.30%
13555		






Monthly Call History

	Month	
2004	July	1160
	August	1147
	September	1069
	October	1127
	November	1130
	December	840
2005	January	1074
	February	1017
	March	1241
	April	1151
	Мау	1344
	June	1255
		13555



A comparison was taken between FY 2004 and FY 2005 caller habits to delineate any trends that may be emerging. It is interesting to note that FY 2004 and FY 2005 calling habits were quite different – polar opposites. Further years of data will be needed to be able to draw any conclusions as to how Georgian Helpline callers use the service during the year and whether either or both reported FY to date revealed anomalies as to caller's habits.



Companson - I	1 2004/200	
	FY 2004	FY 2005
July	1160	1395
August	1147	1128
September	1069	941
October	1127	1387
November	1130	1221
December	840	1249
January	1074	1064
February	1017	937
March	1241	1035
April	1151	1076
Мау	1344	1140
June	1255	1238

Comparison - FY 2004/2005 Months





Seasonal Call History

Seasonal Call history was also studied for FY 2005, and was then compared to FY 2004. The monthly difference between the two FY can be seen in a seasonal manner.

FY 200)5
Season	
Summer	3376
Fall	3097
Winter	3332
Spring	3750



FY 2004 FY 2005

	Season	
Summer	3464	3376
Fall	3857	3097
Winter	3036	3332
Spring	3454	3750



HODAC FY05 Helpline Report





HODAC follows the MHDDAD (Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities and Addictive Diseases) Regional chart to report and analyze client's calling behavior. It was discovered for the reporting period of July 1, 2004-June30, 2005 little has changed in regards to regional usage of the Helpline. Region 2, or Metro Atlanta reported the highest percentage of callers overall again (33.09%) with the neighboring Region 1, or North Region (18.86%) and Region 3, or West Central Region (12.71%) trailing behind. Region 2 carries the highest population within the State of Georgia, although is smallest in land area. Region 1 and Region 3 encompass large areas of landmass, but also include county populations encompassing the Greater Metro Atlanta cosmopolitan area. Population concentration is only one possible reason for large volumes of calls to generate from these areas. Gender, Ethnicity, Helpline perception, Helpline marketing strategies, program awareness, Socio-Economic standings of Regional populations, and Regional Helpline needs may also contribute to a Region's volume of calls to the Helpline. Further investigation is necessary over several cycles of calling periods to accurately pinpoint certain trends in calling behavior.

A clearer picture of a typical Helpline caller will be revealed through the following analysis of State and Regional breakdowns of Helpline data gathered.



MHDDAD Regional Breakdown



Helpline Caller Usage by Region

	Region	Calls	
NORTH	1	2557	18.86%
METRO	2	4486	33.09%
WEST CENTRAL	3	1723	12.71%
CENTRAL	4	1613	11.90%
EAST CENTRAL	5	1094	8.07%
SOUTHWEST	6	920	6.79%
SOUTHEAST	7	1162	8.57%
		13555	





	# of			# of	
County	Callers	Percentage	County	Callers	Percentage
Appling	26	0.19%	Chattooga	17	0.13%
Atkinson	6	0.04%	Cherokee	181	1.34%
Bacon	7	0.05%	Clarke	171	1.26%
Baker	4	0.03%	Clay	3	0.02%
Baldwin	58	0.43%	Clayton	399	2.94%
Banks	4	0.03%	Clinch	9	0.07%
Barrow	74	0.55%	Cobb	809	5.97%
Bartow	157	1.16%	Coffee	46	0.34%
Ben Hill	21	0.15%	Colquitt	48	0.35%
Berrien	21	0.15%	Columbia	35	0.26%
Bibb	498	3.67%	Cook	21	0.15%
Bleckley	19	0.14%	Coweta	210	1.55%
Brantley	5	0.04%	Crawford	12	0.09%
Brooks	14	0.10%	Crisp	29	0.21%
Bryan	16	0.12%	Dade	2	0.01%
Bulloch	61	0.45%	Dawson	17	0.13%
Burke	26	0.19%	Decatur	31	0.23%
Butts	27	0.20%	Dekalb	601	4.43%
Calhoun	5	0.04%	Dodge	17	0.13%
Camden	21	0.15%	Dooly	11	0.08%
Candler	8	0.06%	Dougherty	245	1.81%
Carroll	199	1.47%	Douglas	195	1.44%
Catoosa	44	0.32%	Early	13	0.10%
Charlton	6	0.04%	Effingham	36	0.27%
Chatham	508	3.75%	Elbert	23	0.17%
Chattahoochee	2	0.01%	Emanuel	22	0.16%

County Service Utilization Breakdown



	# of			# of	
County	Callers	Percentage	County	Callers	Percentage
Evans	12	0.09%	Jeff Davis	21	0.15%
Fannin	11	0.08%	Jefferson	20	0.15%
Fayette	111	0.82%	Jenkins	4	0.03%
Floyd	165	1.22%	Johnson	3	0.02%
Forsyth	95	0.70%	Jones	11	0.08%
Franklin	34	0.25%	Lamar	36	0.27%
Fulton	2475	18.26%	Lanier	14	0.10%
Gilmer	28	0.21%	Laurens	53	0.39%
Glascock	3	0.02%	Lee	12	0.09%
Glynn	126	0.93%	Liberty	35	0.26%
Gordon	55	0.41%	Lincoln	3	0.02%
Grady	28	0.21%	Long	2	0.01%
Greene	13	0.10%	Lowndes	226	1.67%
Gwinnett	734	5.41%	Lumpkin	26	0.19%
Habersham	16	0.12%	Macon	27	0.20%
Hall	199	1.47%	Madison	24	0.18%
Hancock	12	0.09%	Marion	4	0.03%
Haralson	54	0.40%	McDuffie	16	0.12%
Harris	11	0.08%	McIntosh	19	0.14%
Hart	30	0.22%	Meriwether	35	0.26%
Heard	9	0.07%	Miller	8	0.06%
Henry	238	1.76%	Mitchell	21	0.15%
Houston	11	0.08%	Monroe	20	0.15%
Houston	671	4.95%	Montgomery	16	0.12%
Irwin	8	0.06%	Morgan	31	0.23%
Jackson	72	0.53%	Murray	9	0.07%
Jasper	16	0.12%	Muscogee	346	2.55%

County Call Utilization Breakdown continued....



	# of			# of	
County	Callers	Percentage	County	Callers	Percentage
Newton	110	0.81%	Terrell	7	0.05%
Oconee	5	0.04%	Thomas	74	0.55%
Oglethorpe	2	0.01%	Tift	62	0.46%
Paulding	127	0.94%	Toombs	59	0.44%
Peach	70	0.52%	Towns	2	0.01%
Pickens	19	0.14%	Treutlen	5	0.04%
Pierce	11	0.08%	Troup	154	1.14%
Pike	14	0.10%	Turner	9	0.07%
Polk	73	0.54%	Twiggs	11	0.08%
Pulaski	23	0.17%	Union	7	0.05%
Putnam	24	0.18%	Upson	39	0.29%
Quitman	1	0.01%	Walker	60	0.44%
Rabun	6	0.04%	Walton	54	0.40%
Randolph	8	0.06%	Ware	71	0.52%
Richmond	479	3.53%	Warren	5	0.04%
Rockdale	167	1.23%	Washington	27	0.20%
Schley	5	0.04%	Wayne	37	0.27%
Screven	8	0.06%	Wheeler	4	0.03%
Seminole	6	0.04%	White	12	0.09%
Spalding	131	0.97%	Whitfield	74	0.55%
Stephens	29	0.21%	Wilcox	4	0.03%
Stewart	6	0.04%	Wilkes	3	0.02%
Sumter	47	0.35%	Wilkinson	15	0.11%
Talbot	4	0.03%	Worth	22	0.16%
Taliaferro	2	0.01%			
Tattnall	14	0.10%			
Taylor	15	0.11%			
Telfair	13	0.10%			

County Call Utilization Breakdown continued....



Population

Regional Population versus Service Utilization Population

2005 Call Trends					
Regions	Pop 2004	Callers	2005		
1	2,241,756	2557	0.11%		
2	2,614,253	4486	0.17%		
3	1,057,277	1723	0.16%		
4	637,356	1613	0.25%		
5	839,545	1094	0.13%		
6	594,421	920	0.15%		
7	844,775	1162	0.14%		
	8,829,383	13555	0.15%		

State population was based upon 2004 census numbers. The previous FY was analyzed using 2000 census population numbers. It is noted that all regions showed an increase in population between census reporting periods. The comparison in population follows:

Region	Pop 2000	Pop 2004	% Change
1	1,994,580	2,241,756	12.39%
2	2,438,948	2,614,253	7.19%
3	967,822	1,057,277	9.24%
4	608,744	637,356	4.70%
5	790,466	839,545	6.21%
6	579,772	594,421	2.53%
7	806,121	844,775	4.80%
	8,186,453	8,829,383	7.85%

Regional Population Comparison





Statewide Regional Population – 2004 Census

It is noted that the utilization of the Helpline service has decreased between FY 2004 and FY 2005 when relating calls versus Georgia population. This is true for all regions with the exception of Region 4 which showed a substantial 27.85% population utilization increase when comparing total population and Region 6, which showed a 3.38% population utilization increase. Overall, the Helpline realized a 9.00% decrease in population utilization of the Georgia Helpline during FY 2005 when compared to FY 2004

Regions	2004	2005	% change
1	0.14%	0.11%	-16.73%
2	0.21%	0.17%	-16.45%
3	0.18%	0.16%	-11.84%
4	0.20%	0.25%	27.85%
5	0.13%	0.13%	-3.01%
6	0.15%	0.15%	3.38%
7	0.14%	0.14%	-3.24%
% GA pop.	0.17%	0.15%	-9.00%

2004 vs. 2005 Regional cal	trends - % of population	utilizina service
		atim_ing controc



Needs

Needs Discussion

Caller Needs are represented in the HODAC Iris data collection system with 222 separate and individual needs. Needs were broken down into 7 separate main categories including a separate category to specifically deal with multiple need calls. 96.55% of all calls received at the Helpline can be categorized within one of the following six Main Categorical headings.

MAIN NEED CATEGORY -		
Single	COUNT	%
Substance Abuse / Addiction	10217	75.37%
Mental Health	463	3.42%
Criminal/Legal Reporting	752	5.55%
Abuse / Neglect	385	2.84%
General Information / Inquiries	1150	8.48%
Medical / Health Inquiries	121	0.89%
		96.55%

Remaining caller needs fit into the 'Multiple Need' call category. The following represents a near complete listing of the types of Multiple Need calls that were received at the Helpline during the reporting period. 99.85% of all Helpline caller's needs are accounted for through this method of categorization.

Main Need Category with additional need calls		
Substance Abuse / Addiction & Mental Health	167	1.23%
Substance Abuse / Addiction & Criminal/Legal Reporting	31	0.23%
Substance Abuse / Addiction & Abuse / Neglect	23	0.17%
Substance Abuse / Addiction & General Information / Inquiries	50	0.37%
Substance Abuse / Addiction & Medical / Health Inquiries	9	0.07%
· · · · ·		
Mental Health & Criminal/Legal Reporting	17	0.13%
Mental Health & Abuse / Neglect	12	0.09%
Mental Health & General Information / Inquiries	37	0.27%
Mental Health & Medical / Health Inquiries	1	0.01%
Criminal/Legal Reporting & Abuse / Neglect	32	0.24%
Criminal/Legal Reporting & General Information / Inquiries	49	0.36%
Criminal/Legal Reporting & Medical / Health Inquiries	0	0.00%
Abuse / Neglect & General Information / Inquiries	8	0.06%
Abuse / Neglect & Medical / Health Inquiries	0	0.00%
		T
General Information / Inquiries & Medical / Health Inquiries	11	0.08%
		3.30%



Multiple Needs

Data received in raw form yielded 27,068 individual pieces of data regarding needs. These pieces of data include several need entries per call for many callers. During the data cleaning and repair phase of the project, the following information regarding need inquiry behavior was gleaned. The following shows, for example that one person called into the Helpline service requiring information about eight different issues.

Caller Need Breakdown	
1 Need	13555
2 Needs	10127
3 Needs	2665
4 Needs	557
5 Needs	117
6 Needs	30
7 Needs	10
8 Needs	7
	27068
# of Calls	13,555
# of Needs	27,068
Avg # of Needs per	



Тор 15

Of the 222 individual needs inquired about, the top 15 needs reported were as follows:

Top 15 Needs	TOTALS	%
Substance Abuse Treatment	8712	32.19%
Crack	3458	12.78%
Alcohol	2444	9.03%
Cocaine	1922	7.10%
Methamphetamines	1650	6.10%
Marijuana	1317	4.87%
12 Step Programs	1152	4.26%
Prescription Drugs	657	2.43%
General Info. (Phone # Only)	392	1.45%
Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	390	1.44%
Police/Sheriff/State Police	333	1.23%
Substance Abuse Prevention	212	0.78%
Drug Abuse/Addiction	208	0.77%
Individual Shelter	177	0.65%
Heroin	173	0.64%



Complete List

COMPLETE LIST OF NEEDS	TOTALS	%
Substance Abuse Treatment	8712	32.19%
Crack	3458	12.78%
Alcohol	2444	9.03%
Cocaine	1922	7.10%
Methamphetamines	1650	6.10%
Marijuana	1317	4.87%
12 Step Programs	1152	4.26%
Prescription Drugs	657	2.43%
General Info. (Phone # Only)	392	1.45%
Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	390	1.44%
Police/Sheriff/State Police	333	1.23%
Substance Abuse Prevention	212	0.78%
Drug Abuse/Addiction	208	0.77%
Individual Shelter	177	0.65%
Heroin	173	0.64%
Other Opiates	169	0.62%
411 Services Needed	157	0.58%
Crime Reporting	151	0.56%
CRISIS LINE/I&R OTHER CITIES	144	0.53%
Individual Counseling	137	0.51%
Legal Assist./Representation	132	0.49%
Drug Testing	130	0.48%
Utility, Electric	122	0.45%
Mental Health Evaluation	119	0.44%
Domestic Violence	108	0.40%
Other Types of Crime	102	0.38%
Rent Assistance	100	0.37%
Victim Witness Services	100	0.37%
SUBSTANCE ABUSE	99	0.37%
Substance Abuse Info/Materials	87	0.32%
Family Shelter	72	0.27%
Crisis Counseling	70	0.26%
Anger Management Classes	61	0.23%
Ecstacy	50	0.18%
Drug Selling	49	0.18%
Other Financial Assistance	47	0.17%
Emergency Food/Pantries	46	0.17%
Assessment	44	0.16%
Government Information Lines	44	0.16%
OTC Drugs	44	0.16%
Civil Cases	43	0.16%
Family Counseling	41	0.15%
Subsidized Housing	41	0.15%
Utility, Gas	39	0.14%



Inpatient Mental Health	38	0.14%
Consumer Complaints	36	0.13%
Mental Health Information	33	0.12%
Lottery Games	30	0.11%
Mental Illness	30	0.11%
Drivers Education	29	0.11%
Battered Women's Shelter	28	0.10%
Job Search/Placement	27	0.10%
Speed	27	0.10%
DUI	26	0.10%
HIV/AIDS Testing/Treatment	25	0.09%
Probate Court	25	0.09%
Adult Sexual Abuse	22	0.08%
Consumer Protection	22	0.08%
Prescription Assistance	21	0.08%
Medical Care/Treatment	20	0.07%
Other Support Groups	20	0.07%
Physical Assault	20	0.07%
Child Abuse Mental/Neglect	19	0.07%
Child Sexual Abuse	19	0.07%
Bullying	18	0.07%
Emotional Supprt/Mental Health	18	0.07%
General Assistance	18	0.07%
Long Distance Transportation	18	0.07%
Consumer Advocacy	17	0.06%
Mental Health Day Treatment	17	0.06%
Tobacco Products	17	0.06%
Transitional Housing	17	0.06%
Medical/Health Information	16	0.06%
Rental Housing	16	0.06%
Medical Services	15	0.06%
Suicide, Level 1 (Threat)	15	0.06%
Inhalants	14	0.05%
Couples/Marriage Counseling	13	0.05%
Child Abuse Physical	12	0.04%
Custody Assistance	12	0.04%
Food Stamps	10	0.04%
Gambler's Anonymous	10	0.04%
Identification Info./Assist.	10	0.04%
Information About Suicide	10	0.04%
Landlord/Tenant	10	0.04%
Mortgage Assistance	10	0.04%
SUPPORT GROUPS	10	0.04%
Criminal Cases	9	0.03%
Divorce Counseling	9	0.03%
Murder/Homicide	9	0.03%
Other Housing	9	0.03%
Rape/Sexual Assault	9	0.03%



Utility, Water	9	0.03%
Video Poker/Slots	9	0.03%
ABUSE/NEGLECT	8	0.03%
Cards	8	0.03%
Child Care Information	8	0.03%
Children's Clothing	8	0.03%
Disaster, Natural or Man	8	0.03%
Discrimination Assistance	8	0.03%
Divorce Assistance	8	0.03%
Elder Abuse	8	0.03%
Furniture	8	0.03%
Housing Search Assistance	8	0.03%
Medicaid	8	0.03%
Tax Forms/Information	8	0.03%
911 Services Needed	7	0.03%
Dental Care/Screening	7	0.03%
General Volunteer Opportunity	7	0.03%
Helpline Counseling	7	0.03%
Immunizations/Vaccinations	7	0.03%
MENTAL HEALTH	7	0.03%
Parenting Skills	7	0.03%
Vision Screening/Glasses	7	0.03%
YOUTH DEVELOPMNT/AT RISK		
YOUTH	7	0.03%
Administrative	6	0.02%
Adult Clothing	6	0.02%
Child Support Recovery	6	0.02%
Home Repairs	6	0.02%
LSD	6	0.02%
Sexually Transmitted Diseases	6	0.02%
SHELTER/HOMELESS SERVICES	6	0.02%
Subsidized Insurance	6	0.02%
Utility, Unspecified	6	0.02%
Birth/Death Certificates	5	0.02%
Crime Prevention	5	0.02%
FOOD	5	0.02%
Holiday Gifts/Toys	5	0.02%
INFORMATION	5	0.02%
LEGAL	5	0.02%
Medical Equipment/Supplies	5	0.02%
Medical Transportation	5	0.02%
PCP	5	0.02%
Adult Abuse Survivors	4	0.01%
Adult Education	4	0.01%
Casino	4	0.01%
EMERGENCIES/LAW		
ENFORCEMENT	4	0.01%
Licensing, Business/Profession	4	0.01%
Parent Groups	4	0.01%



Pregnancy Counseling	4	0.01%
Sex Education/Pregnancy Prev.	4	0.01%
Assisted Living	3	0.01%
Couples Shelter	3	0.01%
Day Shelter	3	0.01%
Furniture Donation	3	0.01%
HOUSING	3	0.01%
Immigration Services	3	0.01%
Info. on Business/Industry	3	0.01%
Insurance Info./Counseling	3	0.01%
Job Training	3	0.01%
Mass Transit/Public Transport.	3	0.01%
Medical Bill Payment Assist.	3	0.01%
Missing Persons	3	0.01%
Pregnancy Testing	3	0.01%
Small Claims	3	0.01%
TANF	3	0.01%
Animal Control	2	0.01%
Chambers of Commerce	2	0.01%
Clothing Donation	2	0.01%
Disaster Shelter	2	0.01%
EDUCATION	2	0.01%
GAMBLING ADDICTION	2	0.01%
Guardianship	2	0.01%
HEALTH/MEDICAL	2	0.01%
Household Goods	2	0.01%
Housing Counseling	2	0.01%
Maternity Clothing	2	0.01%
Materinty clothing Meals on Wheels	2	0.01%
Request for Bullying Cards	2	0.01%
Sanitation	2	0.01%
Social Security/SSI	2	0.01%
Special Educ. Support/Advocacy	2	0.01%
Suicide, Level 2 (With Plan)	2	0.01%
Summer Programs	2	0.01%
Utility, Telephone	2	0.01%
ACTIVE CALLER	1	0.00%
Adult Day Care	1	0.00%
After School Care	1	0.00%
Appliances	1	0.00%
Baby Furniture/Baby Items	1	0.00%
Cash Donation	1	0.00%
Child Adoption	1	0.00%
Computer Classes	1	0.00%
Congregate Meals (Seniors etc)	1	0.00%
COngregate means (Seniors etc)	1	0.00%
DAY CARE/CHILD CARE	1	0.00%
Development Disability	1	0.00%
		0.00%



Dice	1	0.00%
Disabled/Medical Transport.	1	0.00%
Domestic Violence Groups	1	0.00%
EMPLOYMENT	1	0.00%
Foster Care	1	0.00%
Govmt. Surplus Commodities	1	0.00%
Health-Related Support Groups	1	0.00%
Hearing Screening/Hearing Aids	1	0.00%
Holiday Food	1	0.00%
Holiday Volunteering	1	0.00%
Home Health Aide/Companion	1	0.00%
Homebuyer Services	1	0.00%
Legislator Information	1	0.00%
Medical Alert Devices	1	0.00%
Medicare	1	0.00%
Money Mgmt./Budget Counsel.	1	0.00%
Non-Emergency Food	1	0.00%
Nonprofit Corp. Development	1	0.00%
Nutrition Education/Counseling	1	0.00%
Other Donations	1	0.00%
Personal Crisis/Mental Health	1	0.00%
Physical Handicap	1	0.00%
Prenatal Care	1	0.00%
Retirement Homes/Communities	1	0.00%
Senior Transportation Services	1	0.00%
Small Business Development	1	0.00%
Sports Betting	1	0.00%
Steroids	1	0.00%
SUICIDE	1	0.00%
Suicide Survivors	1	0.00%
TEST CALLS	1	0.00%
TRANSPORTATION	1	0.00%
Unemployment Insurance	1	0.00%
Visual Impairment/Blindness	1	0.00%
Youth/Runaway Shelter	1	0.00%
TOTALS	27068	



Regional Needs Breakdown

MAIN NEED CATEGORY - Single	Count	% Calls
Substance Abuse / Addiction	2047	80.05%
Mental Health	62	2.42%
Criminal/Legal Reporting	139	5.44%
Abuse / Neglect	41	1.60%
General Information / Inquiries	156	6.10%
Medical / Health Inquiries	16	0.63%
Multiple Needs	96	3.75%
	2557	100.00%





MAIN NEED CATEGORY -		
Single	Count	% Calls
Substance Abuse / Addiction	3366	75.03%
Mental Health	124	2.76%
Criminal/Legal Reporting	308	6.87%
Abuse / Neglect	148	3.30%
General Information / Inquiries	324	7.22%
Medical / Health Inquiries	40	0.89%
Multiple Needs	176	3.92%
	4486	100.00%





MAIN NEED CATEGORY - Single	Count	% Calls
Substance Abuse / Addiction	1393	80.85%
Mental Health	48	2.79%
Criminal/Legal Reporting	79	4.59%
Abuse / Neglect	43	2.50%
General Information / Inquiries	100	5.80%
Medical / Health Inquiries	10	0.58%
Multiple Needs	50	2.90%
	1723	100.00%





MAIN NEED CATEGORY - Single	Count	% Calls
Substance Abuse / Addiction	890	55.18%
Mental Health	139	8.62%
Criminal/Legal Reporting	66	4.09%
Abuse / Neglect	94	5.83%
General Information / Inquiries	344	21.33%
Medical / Health Inquiries	33	2.05%
Multiple Needs	47	2.91%
	1613	100.00%





MAIN NEED CATEGORY -		
Single	Count	% Calls
Substance Abuse / Addiction	893	81.63%
Mental Health	31	2.83%
Criminal/Legal Reporting	50	4.57%
Abuse / Neglect	23	2.10%
General Information / Inquiries	62	5.67%
Medical / Health Inquiries	8	0.73%
Multiple Needs	27	2.47%
	1094	100.00%





MAIN NEED CATEGORY - Single	Count	% Calls
Substance Abuse / Addiction	655	71.20%
Mental Health	34	3.70%
Criminal/Legal Reporting	56	6.09%
Abuse / Neglect	19	2.07%
General Information / Inquiries	106	11.52%
Medical / Health Inquiries	9	0.98%
Multiple Needs	41	4.46%
	920	100.00%





MAIN NEED CATEGORY - Single	Count	% Calls
Substance Abuse / Addiction	973	83.73%
Mental Health	25	2.15%
Criminal/Legal Reporting	54	4.65%
Abuse / Neglect	17	1.46%
General Information / Inquiries	58	4.99%
Medical / Health Inquiries	5	0.43%
Multiple Needs	30	2.58%
	1162	100.00%





Substance Abuse Needs – By Region

	Region 1 Top 10 Substance Abuse Caller Needs				
80	.56% of Region 1's call habits were S	Substan	ce Abuse		
	Related				
1	Methamphetamines	440	21.36%		
2	Alcohol	395	19.17%		
3	Crack	359	17.43%		
4	12 Step Programs	241	11.70%		
5	Cocaine	190	9.22%		
6	Prescription Drugs	101	4.90%		
7	Marijuana	97	4.71%		
8	Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	61	2.96%		
9	Drug Testing	42	2.04%		
10	Heroin	38	1.84%		
	1964 95.34%				





	Top 10 Substance Abuse Caller Needs			
76.46% of Region 2's call habits were Substance Abuse Related				
1	Crack	951	27.73%	
2	Alcohol	765	22.30%	
3	Cocaine	503	14.66%	
4	12 Step Programs	401	11.69%	
5	Methamphetamines	227	6.62%	
6	Marijuana	176	5.13%	
7	Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	101	2.94%	
8	Prescription Drugs	70	2.04%	
9	Heroin	56	1.63%	
10	Drug Testing	48	1.40%	
	3298 96.15%			





	Region 3 Top 10 Substance Abuse Caller Needs			
81	81.37% of Region 3's call habits were Substance Abuse			
	Related			
1	Crack	350	26.02%	
2	Alcohol	266	19.78%	
3	Methamphetamines	214	15.91%	
4	Cocaine	168	12.49%	
5	12 Step Programs	134	9.96%	
6	Marijuana	84	6.25%	
7	Prescription Drugs	65	4.83%	
8	Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	38	2.83%	
9	Drug Testing	13	0.97%	
10	Heroin	13	0.97%	
	1345 100.00%			





	Region 4 Top 10 Substance Abuse Caller Needs				
55	6.61% of Region 4's call habits were S	Substa	nce Abuse		
	Related				
1	Crack	227	26.18%		
2	Alcohol	146	16.84%		
3	Cocaine	125	14.42%		
4	Methamphetamines	121	13.96%		
5	12 Step Programs	103	11.88%		
6	Marijuana	46	5.31%		
7	Prescription Drugs	40	4.61%		
8	Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	28	3.23%		
9	Drug Abuse/Addiction	16	1.85%		
10	Other Opiates	15	1.73%		
	867 100.00%				





	Region 5 Top 10 Substance Abuse Caller Needs			
82	82.08% of Region 5's call habits were Substance Abuse			
	Related			
1	Crack	230	26.41%	
2	Alcohol	186	21.35%	
3	Cocaine	137	15.73%	
4	12 Step Programs	95	10.91%	
5	Methamphetamines	91	10.45%	
6	Marijuana	37	4.25%	
7	Prescription Drugs	37	4.25%	
8	Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	33	3.79%	
9	Other Opiates	15	1.72%	
10	Heroin	10	1.15%	
	871 100.00%			





Region 6 Top 10 Substance Abuse Caller Needs			
72.	72% of Region 6's call habits were S	ubstar	nce Abuse
	Related		
1	Crack	183	27.35%
2	Alcohol	162	24.22%
3	Cocaine	137	20.48%
4	12 Step Programs	63	9.42%
5	Methamphetamines	25	3.74%
6	Prescription Drugs	24	3.59%
7	Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	22	3.29%
8	Marijuana	13	1.94%
9	Drug Abuse/Addiction	10	1.49%
10	Drug Selling	7	1.05%
646 96.56%			





	Region 7 Top 10 Substance Abuse Caller Needs				
8	85.03% of Region 7's call habits were Substance Abuse				
	Related				
1	Crack	274	27.73%		
2	Alcohol	183	18.52%		
3	Cocaine	173	17.51%		
4	12 Step Programs	115	11.64%		
5	Methamphetamines	49	4.96%		
6	Prescription Drugs	47	4.76%		
7	Alcohol Abuse/Addiction	41	4.15%		
8	Marijuana	37	3.74%		
9	Other Opiates	22	2.23%		
10	Drug Abuse/Addiction	10	1.01%		
	951 96.26%				





Specific Focus Areas

A focused observation was undertaken in the call need areas of Methamphetamines, Alcohol and Crack due to the preponderance of abuse and use with these drugs in the state of Georgia.

Methamphetamines, Alcohol and Crack inquiries represented a significant 58.55% of all calls logged at the Helpline during the reporting period of July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005. This is a significant 5.85% increase in the proportion of calls received for these three needs over last year's reporting period.

It is interesting to note the differences between caller demographics, county and regional behaviors, and needs. Regionally, Alcohol related inquiries were more evenly spread amongst the State as compared to Crack and Methamphetamines inquiries. Both Alcohol and Crack inquiries were most common in Region 2, or Metro Atlanta, whereas Methamphetamines inquiries were most commonly found in Region 1, or the North Region of Georgia.

This year, caller inquiries for help regarding Methamphetamines were greater than either crack or alcohol. This represents a shift from crack inquiries which represented 22.98% of all calls during last year's reporting period and now represent only 12.17% of all caller's inquiries. This is significant to note because this mirrors both drug's gaining and waning popularity amongst Georgia's population. Methamphetamines are becoming far more accessible since they are easy to produce domestically and are also easy to distribute.

Males called the Helpline overwhelmingly more than Females for each of the three focus areas again this year. Males called the Helpline twice as often as Females to inquire about Alcohol and Crack. The gap between Males and Females for Methamphetamines inquiries was smaller, yet still significant at 17%.

Ethnicity played an important part in caller behavior as well. For both Alcohol and Methamphetamines inquiries, Caucasians represented a major portion of calls, while African Americans had inquiries about Crack issues more often. These calling behaviors have not changed for either FY 2004 or FY 2005.

Analysis of Methamphetamines, Alcohol, and Crack inquiries follows:



Alcohol

Overview

Data collected during the Georgia State Helpline's reporting period of July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005 yielded the following results:

- 20.87% of 13,555 calls logged during the reporting period were Alcohol related inquiries. This trend is maintained as last year's Alcohol inquiries represented 20.09%.
- Region 2 yielded the highest volume of calls regarding Alcohol inquiries at 35.28% of Alcohol-related calls. This is in comparison to FY 2004 where 36.78% of all calls relating to Alcohol came from Region 2.
- Fulton County yielded the highest percentage of calls regarding Alcohol again this year 20.40% of all calls. This represents a 1.5% decrease in Alcohol related call received over the FY 2004 reporting period.
- Cobb County (Region 1), and Gwinnett County (Region2), produced the second and third highest volume of Alcohol related calls again this year at 7.42% and 5.73% respectively.
- Males called into the Helpline with Alcohol related needs more than twice the percentage of Female callers.
- Caucasians logged nearly 700 calls or 25.00% more calls relating to Alcohol than second most frequent ethnic group, African Americans.
- Over 65% of Alcohol related callers were Unemployed. This is nearly three times the amount of the second most frequent employment status Fulltime Employed.




Demographic Breakdown – Alcohol-Related Calls

Sex		
Male	1895	66.98%
Female	934	33.02%
	2829	





Ethnicity			
African			
American	1018	35.98%	
American Indian	2	0.07%	
Asian/Pac.Island	16	0.57%	
Caucasian	1711	60.48%	
Hispanic	80	2.83%	
Multi-Ethnic	2	0.07%	
2829			





Employment Status					
Disabled	90	3.18%			
Employed full-time	657	23.22%			
Employed part-time	104	3.68%			
Homemaker	9	0.32%			
Illness	3	0.11%			
Maternity	3	0.11%			
Retired	29	1.03%			
Student (not employed)	37	1.31%			
Temporary work	26	0.92%			
Unemployed	1864	65.89%			
Veteran	7	0.25%			
	2829				





	Aa	
0	Age	
2	1	0.04%
3	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	0	0.00%
7	0	0.00%
9	0	0.00%
10	0	0.00%
11	0	0.00%
12	0	0.00%
13	2	0.07%
14	2	0.07%
15	3	0.11%
16	10	0.35%
17	10	0.35%
18	16	0.57%
19	39	1.38%
20	26	0.92%
21	48	1.70%
22	57	2.01%
23	60	2.12%
24	63	2.23%
25	84	2.97%
26	58	2.05%
27	69	2.44%
28	57	2.01%
29	63	2.23%
30	87	3.08%
31	55	1.94%
32	72	2.55%
33	87	3.08%
34	88	3.11%
35	130	4.60%
36	97	3.43%
37	79	2.79%
38	90	3.18%
39	96	3.39%
40	148	5.23%
41	109	3.85%
42	111	3.92%
43	96	3.39%
44	111	3.92%
45	102	3.61%
46	90	3.18%
47	86	3.04%
48	46	1.63%
49	45	1.59%
50	51	1.80%
-	•	



E1	20	1.06%	
51 52	30 36	1.06% 1.27%	
53	33	1.17%	
54	24	0.85%	
55	35	1.24%	
56	22	0.78%	
57	23	0.81%	
58	15	0.53%	
59	5	0.18%	
60	6	0.21%	
61	6	0.21%	
62	8	0.28%	
63	4	0.14%	
64	9	0.32%	
65	3	0.11%	
66	0	0.00%	
67	0	0.00%	
68	2	0.07%	
69	3	0.11%	
70	2	0.07%	
71	2	0.07%	
72	1	0.04%	
73	1	0.04%	
74	0	0.00%	
75	0	0.00%	
76	2	0.07%	
77	1	0.04%	
78	0	0.00%	
79	2	0.07%	
80	1	0.04%	
81	0	0.00%	
82	0	0.00%	
83	0	0.00%	
84	0	0.00%	
85	0	0.00%	
86	0	0.00%	
88	0	0.00%	
00 91	0	0.00% 0.00%	
94	0	0.00%	
2829			





	Region				
1	546	19.30%			
2	998	35.28%			
3	357	12.62%			
4	195	6.89%			
5	253	8.94%			
6	211	7.46%			
7	269	9.51%			
	2829				





Alcohol Service Utilization – County Breakdown

County			County		
Fulton	577	20.40%	Worth	5	0.18%
Cobb	210	7.42%	Bryan	4	0.14%
Gwinnett	162	5.73%	Crisp	4	0.14%
Chatham	139	4.91%	Irwin	4	0.14%
Dekalb	122	4.31%	Lee	4	0.14%
Richmond	119	4.21%	McIntosh	4	0.14%
Muscogee	87	3.08%	Monroe	4	0.14%
Houston	75	2.65%	Pierce	4	0.14%
Clayton	67	2.37%	Baldwin	3	0.11%
Dougherty	66	2.33%	Ben Hill	3	0.11%
Lowndes	59	2.09%	Candler	3	0.11%
Bibb	55	1.94%	Columbia	3	0.11%
Hall	51	1.80%	Dawson	3	0.11%
Henry	48	1.70%	Emanuel	3	0.11%
Coweta	45	1.59%	Jasper	3	0.11%
Rockdale	41	1.45%	Madison	3	0.11%
Carroll	40	1.41%	Mitchell	3	0.11%
Cherokee	39	1.38%	Pike	3	0.11%
Clarke	37	1.31%	Screven	3	0.11%
Bartow	35	1.24%	Taylor	3	0.11%
Douglas	30	1.06%	Telfair	3	0.11%
Troup	30	1.06%	Bleckley	2	0.07%
Newton	29	1.03%	Brantley	2	0.07%
Floyd	26	0.92%	Clinch	2	0.07%
Thomas	22	0.78%	Cook	2	0.07%
Glynn	21	0.74%	Crawford	2	0.07%
Paulding	21	0.74%	Decatur	2	0.07%
Fayette	20	0.71%	Early	2	0.07%



Ware1Jackson1Forsyth1Gordon1Laurens1Walton1Barrow1Effingham1Polk1Tift1	5 4 4 3 2 2 2 2	0.67% 0.64% 0.53% 0.49% 0.49% 0.49% 0.42% 0.42% 0.42%	Fannin Habersham Jenkins Randolph White Atkinson Banks Calhoun	2 2 2 2 1 1 1	0.07% 0.07% 0.07% 0.07% 0.07% 0.04%
Forsyth1Gordon1Laurens1Walton1Barrow1Effingham1Polk1Tift1	4 4 3 2 2 2 2	0.49% 0.49% 0.49% 0.46% 0.42% 0.42%	Jenkins Randolph White Atkinson Banks Calhoun	2 2 1 1	0.07% 0.07% 0.07% 0.04% 0.04%
Forsyth1Gordon1Laurens1Walton1Barrow1Effingham1Polk1Tift1	4 4 3 2 2 2 2	0.49% 0.49% 0.49% 0.46% 0.42% 0.42%	Randolph White Atkinson Banks Calhoun	2 2 1 1	0.07% 0.07% 0.04% 0.04%
Gordon1Laurens1Walton1Barrow1Effingham1Polk1Tift1	4 3 2 2 2 2	0.49% 0.49% 0.46% 0.42% 0.42%	White Atkinson Banks Calhoun	1 1	0.07% 0.04% 0.04%
Laurens1Walton1Barrow1Effingham1Polk1Tift1	3 2 2 2 2	0.49% 0.46% 0.42% 0.42%	Atkinson Banks Calhoun	1	0.04% 0.04%
Walton1Barrow1Effingham1Polk1Tift1	3 2 2 2 2	0.46% 0.42% 0.42%	Banks Calhoun	1	0.04%
Barrow1Effingham1Polk1Tift1	2 2 2 2	0.42% 0.42%	Calhoun	_	
Effingham1Polk1Tift1	2 2 2	0.42%			0.04%
Polk1Tift1	2 2		Clay	1	0.04%
Tift 1	2	0.72/0	Dade	1	0.04%
		0.42%	Dodge	1	0.04%
Butts 1	1	0.39%	Dooly	1	0.04%
Walker 1	_	0.39%	Evans	1	0.04%
Wayne 1		0.39%	Glascock	1	0.04%
Elbert 1		0.35%	Harris	1	0.04%
Haralson 1		0.35%	Heard	1	0.04%
Meriwether 1		0.35%	Houston	1	0.04%
Whitfield 1		0.35%	Miller	1	0.04%
	9	0.32%	Murray	1	0.04%
	9	0.32%	Oconee	1	0.04%
	9	0.32%	Stewart	1	0.04%
	9	0.32%	Taliaferro	1	0.04%
<u> </u>	9	0.32%	Tattnall	1	0.04%
	8	0.28%	Terrell	1	0.04%
	8	0.28%	Treutlen	1	0.04%
	8	0.28%	Turner	1	0.04%
	7	0.25%	Twiggs	1	0.04%
	7	0.25%	Union	1	0.04%
	7	0.25%	Wheeler	1	0.04%
	7	0.25%	Wilcox	1	0.04%
	6	0.21%	Bacon	0	0.00%
	6	0.21%	Baker	0	0.00%
	6	0.21%	Charlton	0	0.00%
	6	0.21%	Chattahoochee	0	0.00%
	6	0.21%	Hancock	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Johnson	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Jones	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Lanier	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Lincoln	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Long	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Marion	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Montgomery	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Oglethorpe	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Quitman	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Rabun	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Schley	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Seminole	0	0.00%
	5	0.18%	Talbot	0	0.00%



Putnam	5	0.18%	Towns	0	0.00%
Washington	5	0.18%	Warren	0	0.00%
Wilkinson	5	0.18%	Wilkes	0	0.00%



Crack

Overview

Data collected during the July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005 reporting period yielded the following results for Crack related inquiries:

- 22.51% of calls logged at the Georgia Helpline were inquiries concerning Crack. This is an increase of nearly 3.5% over last year's reporting period.
- Region 2 yielded the highest overall percentage of calls regarding Crack again this year at 37.42%. The number of calls from Region 2; 1,294 is nearly triple the amount of the second highest regional call volume from Region 1; 460 calls.
- Fulton County, Region 2, reported the highest percentage of calls regarding crack-based inquiries at 22.64%.
- Dekalb County, Region 2, and Chatham County, Region 7, completed the top three volumes of crack-based inquiries at 5.38% and 5.23% respectively.
- 31% more males (65.50%) than females (34.50%) called regarding crack related issues.
- African Americans called in most frequently at 52.17% for Crack related issues as compared to other ethnicities.
- 77.70% of all Crack related callers were Unemployed, representing a 2.2% increase over last year's callers, while the next frequently occurring Employment Status was Full time Employed at 15.79%.





Demographics Breakdown – Crack Related Calls

Sex				
Male	2265	65.50%		
Female	1193	34.50%		
3458				





Race					
African					
American	1804	52.17%			
American Indian	6	0.17%			
Asian/Pac.Island	21	0.61%			
Caucasian	1566	45.29%			
Hispanic	57	1.65%			
Multi-Ethnic	4	0.12%			
3458					





Employment Status					
Disabled	63	1.82%			
Employed full-time	546	15.79%			
Employed part-time	74	2.14%			
Homemaker	7	0.20%			
lliness	3	0.09%			
Maternity	3	0.09%			
Retired	5	0.14%			
Student (not employed)	42	1.21%			
Temporary work	26	0.75%			
Unemployed	2687	77.70%			
Veteran	2	0.06%			
	3458				





	Ag	e
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	0	0.00%
7	0	0.00%
9	0	0.00%
10	0	0.00%
11	0	0.00%
12	0	0.00%
13	0	0.00%
14	3	0.09%
15	5	0.14%
16	15	0.43%
17	29	0.84%
18	25	0.72%
19	44	1.27%
20	45	1.30%
21 22	54	1.56%
22	61 97	1.76% 2.81%
23	82	2.81%
24	104	3.01%
26	76	2.20%
27	101	2.92%
28	60	1.74%
29	75	2.17%
30	134	3.88%
31	77	2.23%
32	128	3.70%
33	146	4.22%
34	169	4.89%
35	181	5.23%
36	127	3.67%
37	106	3.07%
38	163	4.71%
39	131	3.79%
40	228	6.59%
41	123	3.56%
42	128	3.70%
43	94	2.72%
44	91	2.63%
45	143	4.14%
46	66	1.91%
47	74	2.14%
48	65	1.88%
49	34	0.98%



		1			
50	52	1.50%			
51	20	0.58%			
52	22	0.64%			
53	9	0.26%			
54	18	0.52%			
55	15	0.43%			
56	16	0.46%			
57	6	0.17%			
58	5	0.14%			
59	0	0.00%			
60	4	0.12%			
61	0	0.00%			
62	4	0.12%			
63	1	0.03%			
64	1	0.03%			
65	0	0.00%			
66	0	0.00%			
67	0	0.00%			
68	0	0.00%			
69	0	0.00%			
70	0	0.00%			
71	0	0.00%			
72	1	0.03%			
73	0	0.00%			
74	0	0.00%			
75	0	0.00%			
76	0	0.00%			
77	0	0.00%			
78	0	0.00%			
79	0	0.00%			
80	0	0.00%			
81	0	0.00%			
82	0	0.00%			
83	0	0.00%			
84	0	0.00%			
85	0	0.00%			
86	0	0.00%			
88	0	0.00%			
91	0	0.00%			
91	0	0.00%			
34		0.00 /0			
	3458				





	Region				
1	460	13.30%			
2	1294	37.42%			
3	438	12.67%			
4	300	8.68%			
5	334	9.66%			
6	247	7.14%			
7	385	11.13%			
	3458				







Crack-Related Service Utilization – County Breakdown

County		Count	y		
Fulton	783	22.64%	Clinch	5	0.14%
Dekalb	186	5.38%	Cook	5	0.14%
Chatham	181	5.23%	Early	5	0.14%
Richmond	168	4.86%	Haralson	5	0.14%
Cobb	165	4.77%	Jasper	5	0.14%
Gwinnett	151	4.37%	Jefferson	5	0.14%
Muscogee	110	3.18%	Bacon	4	0.12%
Bibb	108	3.12%	Dodge	4	0.12%
Clayton	104	3.01%	Gilmer	4	0.12%
Houston	100	2.89%	Macon	4	0.12%
Dougherty	76	2.20%	McDuffie	4	0.12%
Coweta	63	1.82%	Montgomery	4	0.12%
Clarke	52	1.50%	Pickens	4	0.12%
Henry	52	1.50%	Pike	4	0.12%
Douglas	47	1.36%	Brantley	3	0.09%
Lowndes	46	1.33%	Brooks	3	0.09%
Rockdale	45	1.30%	Crawford	3	0.09%
Troup	45	1.30%	Dawson	3	0.09%
Hall	42	1.21%	Evans	3	0.09%
Floyd	40	1.16%	Greene	3	0.09%
Carroll	34	0.98%	Harris	3	0.09%
Glynn	33	0.95%	Hart	3	0.09%
Paulding	26	0.75%	Heard	3	0.09%
Newton	25	0.72%	Houston	3	0.09%
Toombs	25	0.72%	Lumpkin	3	0.09%
Spalding	24	0.69%	Madison	3	0.09%
Ware	24	0.69%	Pierce	3	0.09%
Bulloch	22	0.64%	Screven	3	0.09%
Jackson	21	0.61%	Stewart	3	0.09%
Cherokee	20	0.58%	Bleckley	2	0.06%
Fayette	20	0.58%	Bryan	2	0.06%
Thomas	18	0.52%	Calhoun	2	0.06%
Tift	18	0.52%	Candler	2	0.06%
Coffee	17	0.49%	Charlton	2	0.06%
Walton	17	0.49%	Habersham	2	0.06%
Colquitt	16	0.46%	Hancock	2	0.06%
Walker	16	0.46%	Irwin	2	0.06%
Sumter	15	0.43%	Jenkins	2	0.06%



Bartow	14	0.40%	Lee	2	0.06%
Columbia	14	0.40%	Seminole	2	0.06%
Laurens	14	0.40%	Tattnall	2	0.06%
Polk	13	0.38%	Turner	2	0.06%
Barrow	12	0.35%	Wheeler	2	0.06%
Catoosa	12	0.35%	Atkinson	1	0.03%
Meriwether	12	0.35%	Baker	1	0.03%
Peach	12	0.35%	Chattahoochee	1	0.03%
Baldwin	11	0.32%	Chattooga	1	0.03%
Washington	11	0.32%	Dooly	1	0.03%
Lamar	10	0.29%	Fannin	1	0.03%
Liberty	10	0.29%	Johnson	1	0.03%
Whitfield	10	0.29%	Lincoln	1	0.03%
Appling	9	0.26%	Marion	1	0.03%
Camden	9	0.26%	Miller	1	0.03%
Crisp	9	0.26%	Putnam	1	0.03%
Forsyth	9	0.26%	Rabun	1	0.03%
Grady	9	0.26%	Randolph	1	0.03%
McIntosh	9	0.26%	Schley	1	0.03%
Morgan	9	0.26%	Telfair	1	0.03%
Decatur	8	0.23%	Terrell	1	0.03%
Upson	8	0.23%	Treutlen	1	0.03%
Burke	7	0.20%	Twiggs	1	0.03%
Butts	7	0.20%	Wilkes	1	0.03%
Gordon	7	0.20%	Wilkinson	1	0.03%
Jeff Davis	7	0.20%	Banks	0	0.00%
Mitchell	7	0.20%	Clay	0	0.00%
Pulaski	7	0.20%	Dade	0	0.00%
Taylor	7	0.20%	Glascock	0	0.00%
Worth	7	0.20%	Jones	0	0.00%
Effingham	6	0.17%	Long	0	0.00%
Elbert	6	0.17%	Murray	0	0.00%
Emanuel	6	0.17%	Oconee	0	0.00%
Franklin	6	0.17%	Oglethorpe	0	0.00%
Lanier	6	0.17%	Quitman	0	0.00%
Monroe	6	0.17%	Talbot	0	0.00%
Stephens	6	0.17%	Taliaferro	0	0.00%
Wayne	6	0.17%	Towns	0	0.00%
Ben Hill	5	0.14%	Union	0	0.00%
Berrien	5	0.14%	Warren	0	0.00%
			White	0	0.00%
			Wilcox	0	0.00%



Methamphetamines

Overview

Data collected during July 1, 2004-June 30, 2005 reporting period, yielded the following results for Methamphetamines inquiries:

- 12.17% of all calls logged were inquiries concerning Methamphetamines (1,650 Methamphetamines inquiries out of a total 13,555 calls logged at Helpline.) This represents a 2.5% (9.64%) increase in calls concerning Methamphetamines over last year's calling habits.
- Region 1 yielded the highest overall percentage of calls concerning Methamphetamines at 36.30%.
- Fulton County surpassed Cobb County's first place position this year with 9.70% of all Methamphetamines calls. Cobb County, fell to second place with 7.88% of the calls.
- Houston County edged out Gwinnett County this year to round out the top three counties at 5.52%.
- 58.61% of calls inquiring about Methamphetamines were from Males, while 41.39% were from Female callers.
- An overwhelming 90.97% of all Methamphetamines-related inquiries were reported from Caucasians, although this represents a 3% decrease from last year's reporting period. This could signal that Methamphetamines usage is spreading amongst ethnicities. This trend will be monitored for identification over several reporting periods.
- 77.03% of callers were Unemployed, representing a 3.5% increase in Unemployed individuals calling in, while 14.42% were Employed on a fulltime basis, also representing a 3% change over last year's reporting period, although this figure declined over FY 2004.
- The preponderance of calls for Methamphetamines comes from a younger subset of the population when compared with Alcohol and Crack related inquiries.





Demographic Breakdown – Methamphetamines-Related Calls

Sex					
Male	967	58.61%			
Female	683	41.39%			
1650					





Race					
African					
American	98	5.94%			
American Indian	1	0.06%			
Asian/Pac.Island	25	1.52%			
Caucasian	1501	90.97%			
Hispanic	25	1.52%			
Multi-Ethnic	0	0.00%			
1650					









	Age	9
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	0	0.00%
7	0	0.00%
9	0	0.00%
10	0	0.00%
11	0	0.00%
12	0	0.00%
13	1	0.06%
14	6	0.36%
15	7	0.42%
16	18	1.09%
17	44	2.67%
18	53	3.21%
19	89	5.39%
20	77	4.67%
21	84	5.09%
22	81	4.91%
23	104	6.30%
24	78	4.73%
25	87	5.27%
26	68	4.12%
27	63	3.82%
	62	3.76%
28 29		3.52%
	58	
30	75	4.55%
31	47	2.85%
32	50	3.03%
33 34	52	3.15%
34	51	3.09%
35	44	2.67%
36	41	2.48%
37	42	2.55%
38	50	3.03%
39	30	1.82%
40	34	2.06%
41	15	0.91%
42	23	1.39%
43	11	0.67%
44	21	1.27%
45	19	1.15%
46	18	1.09%
47	11	0.67%
47		0.42%
40 49	7 11	
49	11	0.67%



1		
50	6	0.36%
51	1	0.06%
52	1	0.06%
53	1	0.06%
54	3	0.18%
55	1	0.06%
56	1	0.06%
57	0	0.00%
58	2	0.12%
59	0	0.00%
60	0	0.00%
61	1	0.06%
62	0	0.00%
63	0	0.00%
64	1	0.06%
65	0	0.00%
66	0	0.00%
67	0	0.00%
68	0	0.00%
69	0	0.00%
70	0	0.00%
71	0	0.00%
72	0	0.00%
73	0	0.00%
74	0	0.00%
75	0	0.00%
76	0	0.00%
77	0	0.00%
78	0	0.00%
79	0	0.00%
80	0	0.00%
81	0	0.00%
82	0	0.00%
83	0	0.00%
84	0	0.00%
85	0	0.00%
86	0	0.00%
88	0	0.00%
91	0	0.00%
94	0	0.00%
	1650	0.0070
	1000	







	Region				
1	599	36.30%			
2	337	20.42%			
3	295	17.88%			
4	174	10.55%			
5	129	7.82%			
6	40	2.42%			
7	76	4.61%			
	1650				





Methamphetamines Service Utilization - County Breakdown

County			County		
Fulton	160	9.70%	Wilkinson 3 0.18%		
Cobb	130	7.88%	Ben Hill 2 0.12%		
Houston	91	5.52%	Dodge 2 0.12%		
Gwinnett	90	5.45%	Emanuel 2 0.12%		
Henry	59	3.58%	Houston 2 0.12%		
Douglas	54	3.27%	Jasper 2 0.12%		
Hall	54	3.27%	Montgomery 2 0.12%		
Coweta	48	2.91%	Oconee 2 0.12%		
Bartow	43	2.61%	Screven 2 0.12%		
Bibb	43	2.61%	Towns 2 0.12%		
Paulding	40	2.42%	Appling 1 0.06%		
Carroll	39	2.36%	Berrien 1 0.06%		
Muscogee	37	2.24%	Burke 1 0.06%		
Cherokee	35	2.12%	Camden 1 0.06%		
Floyd	34	2.06%	Chattahoochee 1 0.06%		
Richmond	31	1.88%	Colquitt 1 0.06%		
Troup	29	1.76%	Dade 1 0.06%		
Chatham	28	1.70%	Dooly 1 0.06%		
Haralson	28	1.70%	Early 1 0.06%		
Clayton	27	1.64%	Grady 1 0.06%		
Forsyth	24	1.45%	Greene 1 0.06%		
Dekalb	23	1.39%	Harris 1 0.06%		
Polk	22	1.33%	Jeff Davis 1 0.06%		
Clarke	21	1.27%	Jones 1 0.06%		
Rockdale	21	1.27%	Macon 1 0.06%		
Spalding	21	1.27%	McDuffie 1 0.06%		
Gordon	20	1.21%	Miller 1 0.06%		
Barrow	19	1.15%	Pulaski 1 0.06%		
Fayette	19	1.15%	Seminole 1 0.06%		
Whitfield	19	1.15%	Tattnall 1 0.06%		
Newton	16	0.97%	Taylor 1 0.06%		
Jackson	14	0.85%	Thomas 1 0.06%		
Walker	14	0.85%	Warren 1 0.06%		
Lowndes	13	0.79%	Washington 1 0.06%		
Gilmer	12	0.73%	Wilcox 1 0.06%		
Walton	12	0.73%	Atkinson 0 0.00%		
Habersham	9	0.55%	Bacon 0 0.00%		
Ware	9	0.55%	Baker 0 0.00%		
Catoosa	8	0.48%	Brantley 0 0.00%		
Tift	8	0.48%	Brooks 0 0.00%		
Columbia	7	0.42%	Calhoun 0 0.00%		
Dougherty	7	0.42%	Candler 0 0.00%		
Elbert	7	0.42%	Charlton 0 0.00%		
Laurens	7	0.42%	Clay 0 0.00%		
Meriwether	7	0.42%	Clinch 0 0.00%		
Baldwin	6	0.36%	Cook 0 0.00%		



Butts	6	0.36%	Evans	0	0.00%
Effingham	6	0.36%	Glascock	0	0.00%
Hart	6	0.36%	Hancock	0	0.00%
Lumpkin	6	0.36%	Irwin	0	0.00%
Toombs	6	0.36%	Jefferson	0	0.00%
Upson	6	0.36%	Jenkins	0	0.00%
Dawson	5	0.30%	Johnson	0	0.00%
Glynn	5	0.30%	Lanier	0	0.00%
Peach	5	0.30%	Lee	0	0.00%
Pike	5	0.30%	Lincoln	0	0.00%
White	5	0.30%	Long	0	0.00%
Bulloch	4	0.24%	Marion	0	0.00%
Chattooga	4	0.24%	McIntosh	0	0.00%
Coffee	4	0.24%	Mitchell	0	0.00%
Crawford	4	0.24%	Monroe	0	0.00%
Crisp	4	0.24%	Oglethorpe	0	0.00%
Fannin	4	0.24%	Pierce	0	0.00%
Franklin	4	0.24%	Putnam	0	0.00%
Madison	4	0.24%	Quitman	0	0.00%
Morgan	4	0.24%	Rabun	0	0.00%
Murray	4	0.24%	Randolph	0	0.00%
Sumter	4	0.24%	Schley	0	0.00%
Wayne	4	0.24%	Stewart	0	0.00%
Banks	3	0.18%	Talbot	0	0.00%
Bleckley	3	0.18%	Taliaferro	0	0.00%
Bryan	3	0.18%	Telfair	0	0.00%
Decatur	3	0.18%	Terrell	0	0.00%
Heard	3	0.18%	Treutlen	0	0.00%
Lamar	3	0.18%	Turner	0	0.00%
Liberty	3	0.18%	Twiggs	0	0.00%
Pickens	3	0.18%	Wheeler	0	0.00%
Stephens	3	0.18%	Wilkes	0	0.00%
Union	3	0.18%	Worth	0	0.00%



Categorical Supposition

Needs Breakdown – Gender-Based

Overall Total

Need Br	eakdo	wn - Total	s		
	Male	SUM			
Abuse / Neglect	124	1.51%	261	4.89%	385
Criminal/Legal Reporting	293	3.56%	459	8.61%	752
General Information / Inquiries	790	9.61%	360	6.75%	1150
Medical / Health Inquiries	45	0.55%	76	1.43%	121
Mental Health	205	2.49%	258	4.84%	463
Substance Abuse / Addiction	6571	79.91%	3646	68.38%	10217
Multiple Need Calls	195	2.37%	272	5.10%	467
Totals	8223	100.00%	5332	100.00%	13555











Regional Gender Need Breakdown

Region 1

Region 1 Needs Breakdown									
		Female			Male				
Abuse/Neglect	3.06%	32	Abuse/Neglect	0.60%	9				
Criminal/Legal Reporting General	8.22%	86	Criminal/Legal Reporting General	3.51%	53				
Information/Inquiries	9.18%	96	Information/Inquiries	3.97%	60				
Medical/Health	0.67%	7	Medical/Health	0.60%	9				
Mental	3.35%	35	Mental	1.79%	27				
Substance Abuse	70.27%	735	Substance Abuse	86.83%	1312				
Multiple Need Calls	5.26%	55	Multiple Need Calls	2.71%	41				
	100.00%	1046		100.00%	1511				







Region 2 Needs Breakdown										
		Female			Male					
Abuse/Neglect	4.96%	90	Abuse/Neglect	2.17%	58					
Criminal/Legal Reporting	10.24%	186	Criminal/Legal Reporting	4.57%	122					
General Information/Inquiries	11.73%	213	General Information/Inquiries	4.16%	111					
Medical/Health	1.27%	23	Medical/Health	0.64%	17					
Mental	3.85%	70	Mental	2.02%	54					
Substance Abuse	62.17%	1129	Substance Abuse	83.78%	2237					
Multiple Need Calls	5.78%	105	Multiple Need Calls	2.66%	71					
	100.00%	1816		100.00%	2670					







ANOVA Business Analysts

Region 3 Needs Breakdown									
	I	Female			Male				
Abuse/Neglect	3.16%	23	Abuse/Neglect	2.01%	20				
Criminal/Legal Reporting	5.49%	40	Criminal/Legal Reporting	3.92%	39				
General Information/Inquiries	9.75%	71	General Information/Inquiries	2.91%	29				
Medical/Health	1.10%	8	Medical/Health	0.20%	2				
Mental	3.85%	28	Mental	2.01%	20				
Substance Abuse	72.53%	528	Substance Abuse	86.93%	865				
Multiple Need Calls	4.12%	30	Multiple Need Calls	2.01%	20				
	100.00%	728		100.00%	995				







Region 4

		Regio	on 4							
Needs Breakdown										
		Female			Male					
Abuse/Neglect	8.71%	73	Abuse/Neglect	2.71%	21					
Criminal/Legal Reporting	5.73%	48	Criminal/Legal Reporting	2.32%	18					
General Information/Inquiries	32.10%	269	General Information/Inquiries	9.68%	75					
Medical/Health	2.86%	24	Medical/Health	1.16%	9					
Mental	8.59%	72	Mental	8.65%	67					
Substance Abuse	38.78%	325	Substance Abuse	72.90%	565					
Multiple Need Calls	3.22%	27	Multiple Need Calls	2.58%	20					
	100.00%	838		100.00%	775					









	_	Regio								
Needs Breakdown										
		Female			Male					
Abuse/Neglect	3.67%	18	Abuse/Neglect	0.83%	5					
Criminal/Legal Reporting	6.31%	31	Criminal/Legal Reporting	3.15%	19					
General Information/Inquiries	6.52%	32	General Information/Inquiries	4.98%	30					
Medical/Health	1.43%	7	Medical/Health	0.17%	1					
Mental	3.87%	19	Mental	1.99%	12					
Substance Abuse	75.15%	369	Substance Abuse	86.90%	524					
Multiple Need Calls	3.05%	15	Multiple Need Calls	1.99%	12					
	100.00%	491		100.00%	603					



ANOVA Business Analysts



		Regio	on 6							
Needs Breakdown										
		Female			Male					
Abuse/Neglect	3.42%	13	Abuse/Neglect	1.11%	6					
Criminal/Legal Reporting	8.95%	34	Criminal/Legal Reporting	4.07%	22					
General Information/Inquiries	18.95%	72	General Information/Inquiries	6.30%	34					
Medical/Health	1.32%	5	Medical/Health	0.74%	4					
Mental	5.79%	22	Mental	2.22%	12					
Substance Abuse	55.79%	212	Substance Abuse	82.04%	443					
Multiple Need Calls	5.79%	22	Multiple Need Calls	3.52%	19					
	100.00%	380		100.00%	540					









	Region 7 Needs Breakdown										
	N	leeas Bre	akdown								
	I	Female			Male						
Abuse/Neglect	2.59%	12	Abuse/Neglect	0.72%	5						
Criminal/Legal Reporting	7.34%	34	Criminal/Legal Reporting	2.86%	20						
General Information/Inquiries	7.99%	37	General Information/Inquiries	3.00%	21						
Medical/Health	0.43%	2	Medical/Health	0.43%	3						
Mental	2.59%	12	Mental	1.86%	13						
Substance Abuse	75.16%	348	Substance Abuse	89.41%	625						
Multiple Need Calls	3.89%	18	Multiple Need Calls	1.72%	12						
	100.00%	463		100.00%	699						









Need Breakdown by Regional Ethnicity

African American

Ethnicity							
African American Caller	Needs by R	egion					
	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7
Abuse/Neglect	8	78	23	36	9	11	9
Criminal/Legal Reporting	23	157	25	23	17	22	17
Gambling	22	163	39	185	19	50	24
General Information/Inquiries	0	21	6	9	0	2	1
Medical/Health	15	51	16	39	10	12	13
Mental	346	1743	393	274	313	267	317
Substance Abuse	17	85	16	17	2	19	13
Multiple Need Calls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	431	2298	518	583	370	383	394

American Indian

Ethnicity							
American Indian Caller N	leeds by Re	egion					
	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7
Abuse/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Criminal/Legal Reporting	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Gambling	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
General Information/Inquiries	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Medical/Health	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
Mental	3	14	1	0	1	3	3
Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Multiple Need Calls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	19	1	2	1	3	3

Asian Pacific

Ethnicity										
Asian Pacific Caller Needs by Region										
	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7			
Abuse/Neglect	2	4	0	2	0	0	0			
Criminal/Legal Reporting	0	6	0	0	0	0	0			
Gambling	2	3	0	2	0	1	1			



	31	50	11	10	8	12	15	137
Multiple Need Calls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Substance Abuse	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	
Mental	25	34	10	4	8	10	12	
Medical/Health	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	
General Information/Inquiries	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Caucasian

Ethnicity								
Caucasian Caller Needs by Region								
	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	
Abuse/Neglect	30	60	19	55	13	8	7	
Criminal/Legal Reporting	113	124	54	40	33	34	35	
Gambling	128	136	61	152	41	55	31	
General Information/Inquiries	15	14	4	22	6	7	4	
Medical/Health	44	63	31	94	20	21	11	
Mental	1606	1460	975	605	558	371	626	
Substance Abuse	78	82	32	28	25	21	16	
Multiple Need Calls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2014	1939	1176	996	696	517	730	

Hispanic

Ethnicity									
Hispanics Caller Needs by Region									
	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7		
Abuse/Neglect	1	6	1	1	1	0	1		
Criminal/Legal Reporting	3	17	0	3	0	0	2		
Gambling	3	17	0	4	2	0	1		
General Information/Inquiries	0	3	0	2	2	0	0		
Medical/Health	2	8	0	3	1	0	0		
Mental	65	107	14	7	11	4	13		
Substance Abuse	0	5	2	1	0	1	0		
Multiple Need Calls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	74	163	17	21	17	5	17		



Multi Ethnic

Ethnicity									
Multi-Ethnic Caller Needs by Region									
	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7		
Abuse/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Criminal/Legal Reporting	0	2	0	0	0	0	0		
Gambling	1	4	0	1	0	0	1		
General Information/Inquiries	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Medical/Health	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Mental	2	8	0	0	2	0	2		
Substance Abuse	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Multiple Need Calls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	4	17	0	1	2	0	3		



Anova Business Analysts, LLC.

Summary

Anova Business Analysts, LLC. received Helpline Georgia data collected by HODAC, Inc. Data was received in good faith and assumed to be correct. No attempt was made by principals at Anova Business Analysts, LLC. to alter data. Missing, incomplete, or incorrect data was deleted from the final complete database to adhere to statistical analytical principles and avoid Type I and Type II errors at all possible costs. All data received was assumed to be true and honestly captured from HODAC, Inc.

A Master Database Document was maintained and can be referenced.







HODAC FY05 Helpline Statistical Analysis

August 30, 2005

by



ANOVA Business Analysts



Page 119 of 119